

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

BEFORE THE
CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
)
LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING))
COMMITTEE MEETING)
)

DATE AND TIME: TUESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1997
 9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM
 8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE
 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR
 CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 42104

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

APPEARANCES

MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, CHAIRMAN
MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, MEMBER
MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY DIRECTOR
MR. ELLIOT BLOCK, LEGAL COUNSEL
MS. KATHY MARSH, COMMITTEE SECRETARY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

I N D E X

	PAGE NO.
CALL TO ORDER	7
EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS	7, 64
ITEM 1: REPORT FROM THE DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION.	8
ITEM 2: REPORT ON WASTE DIVERSION ACTIVITIES OF THE WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.	17
ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA:	23
ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BERKELEY, ALAMEDA COUNTY.	
ITEM 5: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CLAYTON, CONTRA COSTA COUNTY.	
ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF WASCO, KERN COUNTY.	
ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF COMMERCE, LOS ANGELES COUNTY	
ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MONROVIA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.	
ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF NORWALK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.	

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SOUTH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

PASADENA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CHOWCHILLA, MADERA
COUNTY.

ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
KING, MONTEREY COUNTY.

ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE COUNTYWIDE
SITING ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN AND INTEGRATED WASTE
MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY.

ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR AMOUNT FOR
THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF NAPA, NAPA
COUNTY.

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR AMOUNT FOR
THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR UNINCORPORATED NAPA COUNTY.

ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE TOWN OF TRUCKEE,
NEVADA COUNTY.

ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT AND THE HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ISLETON,
SACRAMENTO COUNTY.

ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF HIGHLAND, SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF NEEDLES, SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CORONADO, SAN
DIEGO COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL
FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF EL CAJON, SAN
DIEGO COUNTY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 22: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF LEMON GROVE, SAN DIEGO
COUNTY.

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY
CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF SAN MARCOS, SAN
DIEGO COUNTY.

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
LOMPOC, SANTA BARBARA COUNTY.

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE
REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF
SHASTA LAKE, SHASTA COUNTY.

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SITING
ELEMENT, SUMMARY PLAN, AND COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED
WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR SHASTA COUNTY.

ITEM 27: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATIONS TO CORRECT THE BASE YEAR FOR THE
PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING
ELEMENT FOR THE SONOMA COUNTY REGIONAL AGENCY.

ITEM 28: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
ELEMENT, NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT AND FOR THE
TIME EXTENSION AND GOAL REDUCTION FOR THE SISKIYOU
COUNTY REGIONAL AGENCY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	24
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	26
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	25, 29
ACTION	31, 32

ITEM 29: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
OF THE PETITION FOR REDUCTION IN PLANNING
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SISKIYOU COUNTY REGIONAL
AGENCY.

STAFF PRESENTATION	32
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	--

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	--
ACTION	33

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

ITEM 30: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON
THE BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

STAFF PRESENTATION	34
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	41
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	47
ACTION	51

ITEM 31: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF'S PROPOSAL TO
DEVELOP THE INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM.

STAFF PRESENTATION	52
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	--
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	62
ACTION	64

ITEM 32: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS
THE TO IMPROVE EXISTING DISPOSAL REPORTING
PROCESSES

STAFF PRESENTATION	65
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	68
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	74, 79
ACTION	81

ITEM 33: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF THE REVISIONS
TO THE USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM REGULATIONS.

ITEM 34: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE USED
OIL FILTER PILOT COLLECTION PROGRAM REPORT.

STAFF PRESENTATION	81
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	--
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	85
ACTION	86

ITEM 35: UPDATE ON STAFF'S REPORT TO 86
DEVELOP QUANTITATIVE MEASUREMENT ASSISTANCE TOOLS
FOR USE BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

ITEM 36: OPEN DISCUSSION. --

ITEM 37: ADJOURNMENT 105

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA;

2 WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 1997

3 9:30 A.M.

4

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: GOOD MORNING. THIS IS
6 THE MEETING OF THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING
7 COMMITTEE FOR TUESDAY, OCTOBER 21ST, AND THE FIRST
8 ITEM WOULD BE TO CALL THE ROLL.

9 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.

11 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.

13 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HERE.

15 BY WAY OF AGENDA ANNOUNCEMENTS OR
16 ITEMS, ITEM 33 HAS BEEN PULLED FROM TODAY'S AGENDA.

17 AND NEXT I WILL JUST ASK IF THERE ARE
18 ANY EX PARTES ANYONE HAS TO REPORT?

19 MEMBER GOTCH: I THINK WE ALL RECEIVED A
20 LETTER THAT WAS WRITTEN TO JUDY FRIEDMAN, BUT CC.'D
21 TO EACH OF US FROM MICHAEL MOHAJER, IS THAT
22 CORRECT, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DEPARTMENT OF
23 PUBLIC WORKS, REGARDING THE SUMMARY PLAN AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COUNTYWIDE SITING ELEMENT.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE'LL ENTER THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 INTO THE RECORD AND CONSIDER THAT EX PARTE-IZED.

2 MEMBER FRAZEE: MINE ARE ALL UP TO DATE.

3 MEMBER GOTCH: QUESTION. I'M USING MY
4 BOARD BINDER, SO ITEM 33 IS WHICH?

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT IS --

6 MEMBER GOTCH: IT'S NOT ON HERE.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S NOT ON THE BOARD
8 ITEM. SO YOU DON'T NEED TO WORRY ABOUT -- IT IS
9 REVISIONS TO THE USED OIL RECYCLING PROGRAM
10 REGULATIONS.

11 WE'RE GOING NEXT TO AGENDA ITEM 1,
12 WHICH IS THE ORAL REPORT FROM THE DIVERSION,
13 PLANNING, AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. NORMALLY
14 THAT WOULD BE PROVIDED BY JUDY FRIEDMAN WHO PRETTY
15 FAITHFULLY ATTENDS OUR COMMITTEE MEETINGS, BUT HER
16 FATHER PASSED AWAY. AND ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF AND
17 THE BOARD MEMBERS, I JUST WANTED TO EXTEND OUR
18 CONDOLENCES TO HER AND HOPE THAT SHE'S BACK WITH US
19 SOON AND ABLE TO REPORT TO US NEXT MONTH. BUT IN
20 HER ABSENCE IS LORRAINE VAN KEKERIX WHO'S GOING TO
21 REPORT TO US.

22 MS. VAN KEKERIX: MY INSTRUCTIONS HERE SAY
23 I'M SUPPOSED TO STATE MY NAME, BUT SINCE YOU

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ALREADY DID, I'LL FOREGO THAT.

25 I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A BRIEF UPDATE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ON MAJOR ACTIVITIES OF THE DIVERSION, PLANNING, AND
2 LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION. TODAY WE HAVE ELEMENTS
3 FROM 26 JURISDICTIONS ON THE AGENDA. THIS
4 REPRESENTS 13 SRRE'S, 4 HHWE'S, 3 NDFE'S, 2 SITING
5 ELEMENTS, 2 SUMMARY PLANS. AND I AM HAPPY TO
6 REPORT THAT SHOULD THE BOARD ACCEPT OUR
7 RECOMMENDATIONS AT THE BOARD MEETING TOMORROW, WE
8 WILL HAVE TWO ADDITIONAL COUNTIES THAT HAVE
9 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANS
10 COMPLETE, AND THOSE ARE MONTEREY AND SHASTA
11 COUNTIES.

12 I'D LIKE TO TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT
13 ABOUT THE PLANNING ANNUAL REPORT INFORMATION
14 SYSTEM. STAFF IS INPUTTING DATA SUBMITTED TO THE
15 BOARD BY JURISDICTIONS IN THEIR ANNUAL REPORTS ON
16 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTING THEIR DIVERSION PROGRAMS.
17 IT'S BEING ENTERED INTO OUR PARIS, PLANNING ANNUAL
18 REPORT INFORMATION SYSTEM, DATABASE. AND OFFICE OF
19 LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND INFORMATION MANAGEMENT BRANCH
20 STAFF CONTINUE TO WORK TOGETHER TO DESIGN REPORTS
21 THAT WILL BE PRODUCED FROM THE INFORMATION
22 CONTAINED WITHIN THE DATABASE.

23 WE ANTICIPATE REPORTING TO THE BOARD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WITH A PRELIMINARY PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION STATUS
25 THIS FALL. WE HOPE THAT THE DATABASE WILL BE IN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GOOD ENOUGH SHAPE TO HAVE A PRESENTATION FOR YOU AT
2 THE NOVEMBER MEETING.

3 OUR WASTE CHARACTERIZATION STAFF ARE
4 WORKING WITH STAFF FROM THE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT
5 BRANCH APPLICATION SERVICES UNIT TO PUT THE FIRST
6 PART OF A WORKING VERSION OF THE WASTE
7 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE ON THE BOARD'S WEB SITE.
8 WE ANTICIPATE HAVING THE ENTIRE WORKING DATABASE ON
9 THE WEB IN NOVEMBER, AND YOU ARE GOING TO BE
10 GETTING MORE OF A REPORT LATER IN THIS COMMITTEE
11 MEETING ON WHAT'S HAPPENING WITH WASTE
12 CHARACTERIZATION.

13 THE BOARD CONTINUES TO MAKE PROGRESS
14 IN CONSIDERING SRRE'S AND NDFE'S FOR EACH OF THE
15 533 JURISDICTIONS AS A RESULT OF THE BOARD ADOPTED
16 STEPWISE COMPLIANCE PROCESS THAT YOU ADOPTED AT THE
17 APRIL BOARD MEETING. OVER THE PAST YEAR STAFF HAVE
18 FOCUSED OUR EFFORTS, AND WE'VE GONE FROM
19 APPROXIMATELY 80 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAD NOT
20 SUBMITTED SRRE'S AND NDFE'S TO LESS THAN TEN
21 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT MET THEIR BOARD
22 APPROVED COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE.

23 WHAT THIS MEANS IS THAT WE HAVE A

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 98-PERCENT COMPLIANCE RATE FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION
25 OF SRRE'S AND NDFE'S. SEVERAL OF THE JURISDICTIONS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THAT HAVEN'T SUBMITTED THEIR DOCUMENTS INDICATE
2 THAT THEY WILL HAVE THEM IN BY MID-NOVEMBER. SOME
3 OF THEM HAD TO GO BACK AND DO CEQA OR GET IT ON
4 THEIR CITY COUNCIL OR COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
5 AGENDA TO BE FORMALLY ADOPTED, AND THAT TOOK A
6 LITTLE WHILE. SO WE EXPECT THAT OUR COMPLIANCE
7 RATE WILL BE EVEN HIGHER THAN 98 PERCENT WITHIN THE
8 NEXT MONTH. STAFF KNOW OF NO OTHER PROGRAM
9 INVOLVING PLANS THAT ARE SUBMITTED TO THE STATE
10 THAT HAS SUCH A HIGH COMPLIANCE RATE.

11 IF THE SRRE'S AND NDFE'S ARE NOT
12 SUBMITTED BY MID-NOVEMBER, STAFF WILL SCHEDULE A
13 PLAN ADEQUACY HEARING FOR THE JANUARY BOARD
14 MEETING. SO THOSE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE TOLD US
15 THAT THEY WOULD BE GETTING SOMETHING IN AND DON'T
16 OR THE ONES THAT HAVE INDICATED THAT THEY DON'T
17 HAVE PLANS TO GET THINGS IN, WE'LL COME TO THE
18 BOARD IN JANUARY FOR THE HEARING, WHICH IS THE NEXT
19 STEP IN THE STEPWISE COMPLIANCE PROGRAM.

20 STAFF HOSTED A MEETING WITH THE
21 OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES AND OTHER STATE
22 AGENCIES ON OCTOBER THE 9TH TO DISCUSS WHAT WENT
23 RIGHT AND WHAT WENT WRONG DURING THE JANUARY 1997

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 FLOODS. WE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO DISCUSS OUR
25 SPECIAL ROLES IN THE COLLECTION, TRANSPORT,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 STORAGE, HANDLING, AND PROCESSING OF SOLID WASTES
2 AND ESPECIALLY HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE FROM
3 HOUSEHOLDS AND FARMS.

4 NEXT I HAVE SOME INFORMATION FOR YOU
5 ON STATE PROJECT RECYCLE. FOR THE QUARTER ENDING
6 JUNE 30, 1997, 8,243 TONS OF MATERIALS WERE
7 REPORTED COLLECTED FOR RECYCLING FROM VARIOUS STATE
8 FACILITIES. THIS REPRESENTS A 23-PERCENT INCREASE
9 OVER THE AMOUNT COLLECTED FOR THE SAME QUARTER IN
10 THE PREVIOUS YEAR. DURING THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER,
11 SIX NEW RECYCLING PROGRAM SITES WERE ADDED,
12 INCLUDING ONE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, A ROADSIDE REST
13 STOP, AND FOUR STATE OFFICE SITES.

14 STAFF IN PROJECT RECYCLE ALSO MET
15 WITH THE ASSISTANT FIRE MARSHAL REGARDING RECYCLING
16 CONTAINERS THAT ARE ACCEPTABLE FOR USE. STAFF ALSO
17 PROVIDED HIM WITH FIRE SAFETY INFORMATION ON THE
18 CONTAINERS THAT THE SENATE RULES COMMITTEE IS
19 INTERESTED IN USING AT THE CAPITOL.

20 OUR USED OIL PROGRAM HAD A GREAT DEAL
21 OF ACTIVITY DURING SEPTEMBER. FORTY-TWO CENTERS
22 WERE CERTIFIED, 155 CENTERS WERE RECERTIFIED. THAT
23 INCLUDES CHIEF AUTO PARTS, TIRE STATIONS, GRAND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AUTO, AND GLI JIFFY LUBES. EIGHT CENTERS WERE
25 REGISTERED, FIVE CERTIFIED CENTERS WITHDREW FROM

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE PROGRAM, AND 20 CERTIFICATES EXPIRED, AND EIGHT
2 INDUSTRIAL GENERATORS WERE REGISTERED. THAT BRINGS
3 US TO A TOTAL OF 2,288 CERTIFIED CENTERS, 578
4 INDUSTRIAL GENERATORS, 66 CURBSIDE COLLECTION
5 PROGRAMS, AND ONE ELECTRIC UTILITY, FOR A TOTAL
6 PROGRAM PARTICIPANT NUMBER OF 2,933.

7 STAFF ATTENDED THE FIRST DAY OF THE
8 REGIONAL COUNCIL OF RURAL COUNTIES STATEWIDE
9 CONFERENCE IN SONORA. GRANT MANAGER, DARLENE
10 FALCONER, RECEIVED A SPECIAL AWARD FROM RCRC
11 COMMENDING HER OUTSTANDING SERVICE TO RURAL
12 COUNTIES IN THE ADMINISTRATION OF USED OIL AND HHW
13 GRANTS.

14 SEVERAL RCRC BOARD MEMBERS GAVE
15 TESTIMONIALS OR TOLD STORIES EXEMPLIFYING DARLENE'S
16 EXTRAORDINARY EFFORTS. DARLENE HAS SINCE RETIRED
17 FROM STATE SERVICE, SO THIS WAS A VERY NICE
18 SEND-OFF.

19 USED OIL OPPORTUNITY GRANT
20 APPLICATION PACKETS HAVE BEEN MAILED TO INTERESTED
21 PARTIES. LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ARE ELIGIBLE TO APPLY
22 FOR THESE OPPORTUNITY GRANTS, AND THE APPLICATIONS
23 ARE DUE ON NOVEMBER 14TH.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON AWARDED A \$500
25 PRIZE TO THE POINTS LEADER AT THE MESA-MARIN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RACEWAY IN KERN COUNTY ON SEPTEMBER 13TH. THE
2 FOCUS OF THIS EVENT WAS TO HIGHLIGHT THE USE OF
3 REREFINED OIL BY 19 OF THE LOCAL RACE CAR DRIVERS.
4 HE ALSO PARTICIPATED IN A PRESS EVENT AT SEARS
5 POINT RACEWAY ON OCTOBER THE 3D TO PROMOTE THE USE
6 OF REREFINED OIL AND THANKED KRAGEN AUTO PARTS,
7 SAFETY CLEAN CORPORATION, AND GOLDENWEST MOTOR
8 SPORTS FOR BEING PARTNERS IN USED OIL COLLECTION
9 AND EDUCATION EFFORTS.

10 UNDER A USED OIL NONPROFIT GRANT, THE
11 LOCAL GOVERNMENT COMMISSION IS SPONSORING A SERIES
12 OF REGIONAL WORKSHOPS TO ASSIST GRANT PARTICIPANTS
13 IN PLANNING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF USED OIL
14 COLLECTION PROGRAMS. THE WORKSHOPS WERE HELD IN
15 LATE SEPTEMBER IN LOS ANGELES, SANTA BARBARA, AND
16 OAKLAND, WITH WORKSHOPS IN EARLY OCTOBER IN ORLAND,
17 HANFORD, AND SAN DIEGO.

18 THE WORKSHOP MATERIALS FOCUS ON
19 PROGRAM PLANNING, INCLUDING TOOLS FOR DEVELOPING
20 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES, IDENTIFYING TARGET AUDIENCES,
21 AS WELL AS OUTREACH METHODS. THE TRAINING WAS
22 TIMED TO HELP LOCAL GRANT WRITERS FOCUS ON THE
23 NEEDS OF THEIR JURISDICTIONS AS THEY APPLY FOR THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 NEXT OPPORTUNITY AND HHW GRANTS WITH THE DEADLINE

25 COMING UP IN NOVEMBER.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOARD MEMBERS CHESBRO AND RELIS
2 PARTICIPATED IN A PRESS CONFERENCE WITH TED DANSON
3 IN SANTA MONICA AT RUSTY'S SURF SHOP ON OCTOBER THE
4 14TH.
5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: UNFORTUNATELY, PAUL AND
6 I DIDN'T GET TO GO SURFING. THAT WAS THE ONLY BAD
7 PART.
8 MS. VAN KEKERIX: WELL, SORRY TO HEAR
9 THAT.
10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: HOWEVER, THE HIGH POINT
11 WAS THAT HE AND I DID GET TO DRIVE TED DANSON'S
12 ELECTRIC VEHICLE. WE WERE STANDING THERE ADMIRING
13 IT, AND HE SAID, "WOULD YOU LIKE TO TAKE IT FOR A
14 SPIN?" PAUL AND I IN UNISON SAID, "YEAH." WE TOOK
15 IT OUT ON THE SANTA MONICA FREEWAY. IT WAS QUITE
16 AN INTERESTING EXPERIENCE. IT MAKES THIS KIND OF
17 LOW, WHIRRING SOUND INSTEAD OF THE NORMAL ROAR OF
18 AN ENGINE.
19 MEMBER GOTCH: WHICH ONE OF YOU GOT TO
20 DRIVE?
21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE TOOK TURNS. WE EACH
22 HAD A CHANCE TO DO THAT.
23 MS. VAN KEKERIX: DOESN'T SOUND QUITE AS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 EXCITING AS SURFING, BUT --

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: BUT GETTING BACK TO THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SUBJECT AT HAND --

2 MS. VAN KEKERIX: GETTING BACK TO THE
3 SUBJECT AT HAND.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THE ADS THAT WE
5 SPONSORED, WHICH I HOPE WE CAN PREVIEW AT SOME
6 POINT SO THAT OTHER BOARD MEMBERS SEE THEM, ARE
7 QUITE EFFECTIVE AND REALLY DO A GOOD JOB OF GETTING
8 PEOPLE'S ATTENTION FOCUSED ON THE PROBLEM.

9 MS. VAN KEKERIX: I WAS JUST GOING TO SAY
10 THE PRESS CONFERENCE WAS FOR THE ROLL-OUT OF
11 STATEWIDE RADIO AND T.V. PUBLIC SERVICE
12 ANNOUNCEMENTS, ENCOURAGING PROPER MANAGEMENT OF
13 USED OIL. AND THE AMERICAN OCEANS CAMPAIGN THAT
14 TED DANSON IS ASSOCIATED WITH IS COORDINATING THIS
15 EFFORT THROUGH A USED OIL NONPROFIT GRANT.

16 AND I GUESS THE LAST THING --

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THE ONE OTHER PIECE YOU
18 DIDN'T MENTION IS THAT THE VOICE-OVER ON THE ADS IS
19 WITH LLOYD BRIDGES, AT LEAST IN THE ENGLISH ADS.
20 HE DIDN'T DO THE SPANISH LANGUAGE ADS. BUT THE
21 ENGLISH ADS HAVE LLOYD BRIDGES AS THE NARRATOR.
22 SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU AGAIN.

23 MS. VAN KEKERIX: I DIDN'T KNOW THAT. I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HAVEN'T SEEN THE ADS.

25 AND LASTLY, THE OCTOBER ISSUE OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 "INFOCYCLING" IS OUT, AND WE HAVE IT ON THE WEB
2 PAGE, AND IT'S BEEN SENT TO ALL THE JURISDICTIONS.

3 AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
4 IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'LL BE HAPPY TO TRY TO
5 ANSWER THEM OR FIND THE APPROPRIATE STAFF PEOPLE TO
6 GET YOU THE ANSWERS.

7 MEMBER GOTCH: NO QUESTIONS.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE'LL CONSIDER THE
9 DRIVING THE ELECTRIC VEHICLE AS COMING UNDER WASTE
10 PREVENTION BECAUSE YOU DON'T NEED MOTOR OIL TO RUN
11 AN ELECTRIC MOTOR. SO THANK YOU, LORRAINE.

12 NEXT WE HAVE AGENDA ITEM 2, WHICH IS
13 AN ORAL REPORT BY CAREN TRGOVCICH, REPRESENTING THE
14 WASTE PREVENTION AND MARKET DEVELOPMENT DIVISION.

15 MS. TRGOVCICH: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
16 CHESBRO AND MEMBERS. I HAVE FIVE ITEMS I'M GOING
17 TO REPORT TO YOU ON THIS MORNING.

18 THE FIRST ONE I'D LIKE TO PROVIDE YOU
19 AN UPDATE ON IS THE RPPC PROGRAM. WE'RE WELL UNDER
20 WAY TO THE CALCULATION OF A 1996 RATE RIGHT NOW.
21 APPROXIMATELY A MONTH AND A HALF AGO WE SENT OUT A
22 SURVEY FORM PREPARED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF
23 CONSERVATION, WHO IS UNDER AN INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WITH US TO PROVIDE THE SERVICE FOR PURPOSES OF
25 CALCULATING THE NUMERATOR. AND THEIR ROLE IS TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONDUCT THE SURVEY OF PROCESSORS IN THE STATE.

2 WE PROVIDED THEIR DRAFT SURVEY TO ALL
3 INTERESTED PARTIES FOR INPUT. WE RECEIVED ONE
4 COMMENT FROM APC. WE RESPONDED TO THAT, WHICH WAS
5 REALLY A COMMENT REFLECTING FUTURE YEAR
6 CALCULATIONS AS OPPOSED TO CURRENT YEAR
7 CALCULATIONS.

8 DOC THEN PRETESTED THE SURVEY ON A
9 GROUP OF TEN PROCESSORS AROUND THE STATE. AND WE
10 FOUND, BASED UPON THEIR PRETEST, THAT THE SURVEY
11 WAS VERY MANAGEABLE FOR THEM. THEY WERE VERY
12 PLEASED WITH THE SIMPLIFIED APPROACH IN THIS YEAR'S
13 SURVEY, AND WE SEEM TO BE GETTING FAIRLY GOOD
14 RESULTS.

15 AS A RESULT OF THAT PRETEST THAT WAS
16 CONDUCTED SEVERAL WEEKS AGO, DOC THEN DISTRIBUTED
17 THE SURVEY TO 260 PROCESSORS STATEWIDE. AND WE ARE
18 AWAITING THE RESULTS.

19 WE HAVE SCHEDULED AN INTERESTED
20 PARTIES MEETING FOR THE EARLY PART OF DECEMBER. A
21 LETTER WENT OUT TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES ABOUT A
22 WEEK AND A HALF AGO, NOTIFYING THEM OF THIS MEETING
23 SO THEY COULD START MARKING IT ON THEIR CALENDAR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WELL IN ADVANCE. AT THAT MEETING WE WILL BE
25 PRESENTING THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY THAT DOC

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CONDUCTED, AND THAT WILL INCLUDE ALL THEIR
2 TELEPHONE FOLLOW-UP TO THE SURVEY RESULTS. AND WE
3 WILL BE PRESENTING THE INFORMATION AS IT RELATES TO
4 THE DENOMINATOR AS WELL, WHICH WAS AN EXTRAPOLATION
5 BASED UPON 1995 DATA. THIS WAS THE METHODOLOGY
6 THAT THE BOARD APPROVED IN SAN BERNARDINO EARLIER
7 THIS YEAR. SO WE WOULD ANTICIPATE THIS ITEM COMING
8 BEFORE YOU LIKELY IN JANUARY, SO THE FIRST MONTH OF
9 CALENDAR YEAR 1998.

10 THE NEXT THING I'D LIKE TO PROVIDE
11 YOU WITH SOME INFORMATION ON IS THE L.A.
12 GRASSCYCLING EFFORT THAT WASTE PREVENTION STAFF
13 HAVE BEEN UNDERTAKING WITH BOARD MEMBER STEVE
14 JONES. I'M SURE, AS YOU'RE AWARE, THEY HAVE BEEN
15 DEVELOPING A PROPOSAL IN ORDER TO PROMOTE A
16 REGIONWIDE GRASSCYCLING CAMPAIGN THAT WOULD LOOK TO
17 BE KICKED OFF PROBABLY IN THE FEBRUARY TIME FRAME
18 WITH MOWER EXCHANGE EVENTS OCCURRING IN THE
19 MARCH-APRIL TIME FRAME AS WELL, THE SPRING CLEANUP,
20 AND MOVING ALONG IN THAT DIRECTION. THIS IS
21 PATTERNED AFTER OUR VERY SUCCESSFUL GRASSCYCLING
22 CAMPAIGN OF EARLIER THIS YEAR.

23 WE LOOK LIKE WE HAVE SOME PRETTY GOOD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 PARTICIPATION FROM THE LOS ANGELES JURISDICTIONS.

25 WE LOOK LIKE WE HAVE DOLLARS THAT HAVE BEEN KICKED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IN BY THE LOS ANGELES JURISDICTIONS AS WELL. AND
2 WE'RE LIKELY TO SEE AN ITEM POTENTIALLY COMING
3 FORWARD IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS ON THIS AS
4 WELL FOR PURPOSES OF BOARD PARTICIPATION.

5 FOR THE SHIPPING AND DISTRIBUTION
6 WORKSHOP, FOR THOSE OF YOU THAT WERE UNABLE TO
7 ATTEND, AND I KNOW MANY MEMBERS WERE ABLE TO
8 ATTEND, BUT ON OCTOBER 9TH WE HELD A WORKSHOP IN
9 THE SAN JOSE AREA. OUR COSPONSOR WAS SAN JOSE
10 STATE UNIVERSITY, WHO HAS AN EXCELLENT PACKAGING
11 DEGREE PROGRAM. THEY'RE THE ONLY PACKAGING PROGRAM
12 WEST OF THE MISSISSIPPI. SO THEY HAVE QUITE A DRAW
13 AND QUITE A SUPPORT BY THEIR ADVISORY COUNCIL,
14 WHICH CONSISTS OF A LARGE NUMBER OF MANUFACTURERS
15 WHO PARTICIPATE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROGRAM.

16 THE PURPOSE OF THE WORKSHOP WAS TO
17 FOCUS ON THE SHIPPING AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS.
18 THIS WAS THE FOLLOW-ON TO THE ORIGINAL TRANSPORT
19 PACKAGING INITIATIVE, AND IT WAS THEN SHAPED BY A
20 LOT OF INTERESTED PARTIES TO BECOME THE SHIPPING
21 DISTRIBUTION PARTNERSHIP. WE HAD PARTICIPATION
22 FROM APPROXIMATELY 70 DIFFERENT BUSINESS
23 INDIVIDUALS THERE. IT WAS PRINCIPALLY FOCUSED ON

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BUSINESSES. WE HAD REPRESENTATIVES FROM FIBER
25 INDUSTRIES, PLASTICS INDUSTRIES, A WHOLE VARIETY OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DIFFERENT PACKAGING SYSTEMS. WE HAD PACKAGING
2 CONSULTANTS, WE HAD RETAILERS THERE. WE HAD
3 RALEY'S, THE GAP, NORDSTROMS, A VARIETY OF
4 RETAILERS. AND WE HAD SOME OF THE TRANSPORT
5 COMPANIES AS WELL AND INDIVIDUALS WHO SPECIALIZE IN
6 LOGISTICS.

7 SO WE HAD VERY GOOD PARTICIPATION,
8 AND I WOULD SAY, FROM THE LOOKS ON THE
9 PARTICIPANTS' FACES, THERE WAS A LOT OF GOOD
10 INFORMATION THAT WAS BROUGHT FORWARD, A LOT OF
11 IDEAS, THINGS THAT FOLKS HAD NOT NECESSARILY
12 THOUGHT OF BEFORE. WE WILL BE TAKING THE RESULTS
13 OF THE WORKSHOP AND PUTTING IT INTO SOME SORT OF A
14 BRIEF WRITE-UP, WHICH WE WILL BE CIRCULATING AROUND
15 TO ALL OF THE PARTICIPANTS FOR THEIR INPUT AND
16 COMMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT WE GOT THE CASE STUDIES
17 RIGHT AND THE STORIES RIGHT SO IT CAN BE USED TO
18 FURTHER OUR EDUCATIONAL EFFORTS IN THIS AREA.

19 LASTLY, I'D JUST LIKE TO REPORT TO
20 PROVIDE YOU AN UPDATE, AS I WILL NOW BEGIN TO DO ON
21 AN ONGOING BASIS, ON THE INTEGRATED MULTIMEDIA
22 ASSESSMENTS FOR PRINTERS. YOU WILL REMEMBER WE
23 RECEIVED A \$100,000 GRANT FROM U.S. EPA WITH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 PARTNERS IN THE BAY AREA REGION TO LOOK AT WASTE
25 ASSESSMENTS FOR PRINTERS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE ARE WORKING ON FINALIZING THE
2 AGREEMENTS WITH OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT PARTNERS IN
3 THIS EFFORT. THE LARGE BULK, IF NOT ENTIRE AMOUNT,
4 OF FUNDS RECEIVED FROM U.S. EPA WILL BE THEN PASSED
5 ON TO OUR LOCAL GOVERNMENT PARTNERS FOR PURPOSES OF
6 CONDUCTING THE ASSESSMENTS.

7 I'D LIKE TO SAY THAT SINCE WE
8 RECEIVED THE GRANT, WE HAVE A LOT MORE INTEREST IN
9 MORE PARTNERS FOR THIS PROGRAM AS WELL. SO WE'RE
10 HOPING TO BE ABLE TO TAKE THIS INFORMATION AND
11 REALLY PASS IT ON. AND THE BAY AREA GROUPS ARE
12 REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO BEING ABLE TO TAKE THIS
13 TYPE OF A MODEL, SEE HOW IT WORKS IN THE PRINTING
14 ARENA, AND THEN POTENTIALLY MOVE IT OUT INTO OTHER
15 INDUSTRY SECTORS AS WELL.

16 THAT'S THE EXTENT OF MY REPORT FOR
17 THIS MONTH. I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS
18 IF I CAN.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I'M TEMPTED TO
20 SUGGEST THAT FUNDING FOR THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
21 LAWN MOWER PROGRAM BE REFERRED TO COMMITTEE FOR A
22 POLICY ON CONTRIBUTIONS FIRST.

23 MS. TRGOVCICH: I WAS THINKING MAYBE THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 TIRE FUND SINCE THERE'S TIRES ON THE MOWERS.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I DON'T KNOW. YOU

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WEREN'T HERE FOR THIS DISCUSSION, SO YOU DON'T
2 UNDERSTAND THE SICK ATTEMPT AT HUMOR HERE.
3 NONETHELESS, YOU KNOW, WE HAVE A SERIOUS FINANCIAL
4 DEFICIT AT THE BOARD, AND SO WE OUGHT TO REALLY BE
5 THINKING ABOUT WHETHER WE HAVE A POLICY THAT'S
6 GOING TO COVER THIS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR
7 PRESENTATION.

8 OKAY. THE NEXT ITEM IS THE CONSENT
9 ITEM, CONSENT AGENDA ITEM 3. AND WE HAVE ITEMS 4
10 THROUGH 27 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. THEY ARE
11 AVAILABLE IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM FOR ANYBODY WHO
12 MAY BE HERE FOR AN ITEM THAT THEY WOULD LIKE TO ASK
13 US TO HEAR.

14 BUT IF THERE IS NO ONE ASKING FOR ANY
15 ITEMS TO BE REMOVED, I WILL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO
16 ADOPT THE CONSENT AGENDA.

17 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
20 SECONDED. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR -- I'M SORRY. CAN
21 YOU CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.

22 THE SECRETARY: COMMITTEE MEMBERS FRAZEE.

23 MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.

25 MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN CHESBRO.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: AYE. BACK TO BOARD OF
3 SUPERVISORS DAYS.

4 THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 28, WHICH IS
5 CONSIDERATION OF THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE
6 ADEQUACY OF THE SRRE, HHWE, NONDISPOSAL FACILITY
7 ELEMENT, AND TIME EXTENSION AND GOAL REDUCTION FOR
8 SISKIYOU COUNTY REGIONAL AGENCY.

9 MS. VAN KEKERIX: AND MICHELLE LAWRENCE OF
10 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE WILL BE DOING THIS
11 ITEM AND THE FOLLOWING ITEM.

12 MS. LAWRENCE: ITEM 28 IS WHAT WE LIKE TO
13 CALL STREAMLINING IN OLA. SO LET ME WALK YOU
14 THROUGH THIS ITEM.

15 SISKIYOU COUNTY IS BEFORE YOU THIS
16 MORNING WITH ITS NINE INCORPORATED CITIES AS A
17 RURAL REGIONAL AGENCY, WHICH WAS APPROVED BY OUR
18 BOARD IN JUNE OF THIS YEAR. SO NOW THIS NEW RURAL
19 AGENCY IS BEFORE US WITH THEIR PLANNING DOCUMENTS,
20 SPECIFICALLY THEIR REGIONAL SRRE, HHWE, AND NDFE.
21 AND STAFF WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE TO YOU, RECOMMEND
22 TO YOU APPROVAL OF THE HHWE AND THE NDFE AND
23 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE IS
25 BASED ON THAT DOCUMENT'S REQUIRING ALL OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CITIES TO DO SOME SPECIFIC THINGS WHICH STATUTE
2 REQUIRES THEM TO DO. AND THOSE -- THE CITIES WON'T
3 HAVE COMPLETED THAT PROCESS FOR A COUPLE OF MORE
4 MONTHS. SO WE'D LIKE TO CONDITIONALLY APPROVE THE
5 SRRE, AND THEY'RE OKAY WITH THAT, AND COME BACK TO
6 US WITH ALL THE DOCUMENTATION SHOWING THAT THE
7 INDIVIDUAL CITIES HAVE ADOPTED PUBLIC PROCUREMENT
8 POLICIES AND PUBLIC EDUCATION PROGRAMS AS REQUIRED.
9 AND ONCE THEY REPORT ON THAT, WE'LL BE BACK TO YOU
10 TO FULLY APPROVE THAT SRRE.

11 ALSO CONTAINED IN THIS ITEM IS A
12 RESOLUTION FOR A TIME EXTENSION TO GIVE THIS RURAL
13 REGIONAL AGENCY UNTIL THE END OF 1997 TO REACH THE
14 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL, AND THEN A GOAL
15 REDUCTION FOR 1997 -- EXCUSE ME -- FOR THE YEAR
16 2000.

17 SO THEY'RE ASKING -- WE'RE ASKING FOR
18 OR RECOMMENDING CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE,
19 FULL APPROVAL OF THE HHWE AND THE NDFE, A GOAL
20 REDUCTION, AND GIVING SISKIYOU COUNTY TO THE END OF
21 1997 TO REACH THE 25-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WELL, I'D LIKE
23 TO SAY THIS IS A GREAT LEAP FORWARD FOR SISKIYOU

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COUNTY AND ALSO, I THINK, ILLUSTRATES THE STAFF'S
25 EFFORT TO IMPLEMENT OUR DIRECTION TO STREAMLINE THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ASSISTANCE THAT WE PROVIDE TO RURAL JURISDICTIONS.
2 SISKIYOU COUNTY, I THINK, WAS STRUGGLING NOT VERY
3 LONG AGO, TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO PULL ALL OF
4 THIS TOGETHER. AND I THINK THERE'S BEEN A
5 PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN OUR STAFF AND THE LOCAL
6 JURISDICTIONS TO MOVE FORWARD. AND IT'S -- I'M
7 VERY, VERY PLEASED TO SEE IT.

8 IS THERE ANYONE HERE FROM THE COUNTY
9 WHO WOULD LIKE TO OR ANY OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT
10 WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK? COME ON FORWARD AND INTRODUCE
11 YOURSELF, PLEASE.

12 MR. LIVINGSTON: MY NAME IS JOHN
13 LIVINGSTON, AND I'M AN ENGINEER WITH CH2MHILL IN
14 REDDING, CALIFORNIA. AND I PREPARED THE DOCUMENTS
15 THAT SISKIYOU COUNTY HAS ADOPTED.

16 I WOULD PERSONALLY LIKE TO
17 CONGRATULATE YOUR LOCAL ASSISTANCE PERSON, HEIDI
18 SANBORN, WHO WORKED TIRELESSLY OVER THE PAST YEAR
19 ATTENDING OUR TASK FORCE MEETINGS UP IN YREKA.
20 SHE'S ALWAYS BEEN THERE, AND SHE'S ALWAYS PROVIDED
21 GUIDANCE. AND I KNOW SHE'S BEEN OUT WITH BACK
22 SURGERY, BUT SHE REALLY DESERVES A LOT OF KUDOS FOR
23 REPRESENTING THE BOARD EXTREMELY WELL.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CONCERNING THE DOCUMENTS BEFORE YOU,
25 THESE CITIES THAT ARE UP THERE ARE EXTREMELY TINY.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THESE ARE SOME OF THE SMALLEST CITIES. I DON'T
2 KNOW WHY THEY'RE CITIES. I THINK SOME OF THEM
3 WOULD RATHER NOT BE CITIES. THEY HAVE NO CITY HALL
4 FOR QUITE A FEW OF THEM. THEY MAY HAVE SEVERAL
5 HUNDRED PEOPLE AT THE MOST IN THEIR WHOLE CITY.

6 I RECOGNIZE THAT THE RECOMMENDATION
7 IS FOR CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE; HOWEVER, I
8 THINK THAT THERE ARE TWO THINGS THAT THEY HAVE NOT
9 DONE THAT HEIDI HAS TOLD THEM THEY HAVE TO DO. ONE
10 IS TO APPOINT -- EACH CITY TO APPOINT A RECYCLING
11 COORDINATOR AND -- WHICH WOULD ALSO BE THEIR
12 EDUCATIONAL COORDINATOR. AND THE SECOND THING
13 WOULD BE THAT THEY HAVE TO HAVE A PROCUREMENT
14 POLICY IN EACH OF THEIR CITIES FOR BUYING RECYCLED
15 MATERIALS.

16 AND GETTING THESE LITTLE CITIES TO DO
17 THIS IS WORSE THAN PULLING ALL FOUR WISDOM TEETH
18 WITH NO NOVACAINE.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NOW, WE'RE NOT TALKING
20 ABOUT REQUIRING THAT A NEW POSITION BE CREATED, BUT
21 SIMPLY THAT SOMEONE WITHIN THE CITY BE DESIGNATED
22 WITH THAT RESPONSIBILITY, RIGHT? I ASSUME THAT'S
23 WHAT THAT MEANS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MR. LIVINGSTON: AND AS THEIR CONSULTANT,

25 I HAVE TRIED AND ROGER CUMMINS, THEIR COUNTY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PERSON, HAS TRIED, BUT GETTING THESE LITTLE CITIES
2 TO DO ANYTHING IS EXTREMELY DIFFICULT.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, POINT ARENA AND
4 TRINIDAD AND EAST BIGGS HAVE MANAGED. SO, YOU
5 KNOW, EVEN THOUGH I THINK WE NEED TO BE GENTLE WITH
6 THESE SMALL JURISDICTIONS AND UNDERSTAND THEIR
7 PROBLEMS, I THINK WE NEED THAT GENTLE PRESSURE TO
8 CONTINUE TO ENCOURAGE THEM.

9 MR. LIVINGSTON: IF THEY DO THESE TWO
10 THINGS, WILL THEN THE BOARD RECOMMEND -- STAFF
11 RECOMMEND APPROVAL WITH NO LIMITATIONS ON THE SRRE
12 AND THE HHWE? IS THAT MY INTERPRETATION?

13 MS. LAWRANCE: CORRECT.

14 MR. LIVINGSTON: ANYWAY, I JUST WANT YOU
15 GUYS TO UNDERSTAND THAT THESE LITTLE CITIES ARE
16 WORKING HARD. THEY'RE DOING A LOT OF RECYCLING UP
17 THERE IN SISKIYOU COUNTY, BUT THEIR HAUL DISTANCES
18 ARE EXTREMELY LONG. THEY HAVE NO SCALES AT ANY OF
19 THEIR LANDFILLS. THEY'VE JUST RECENTLY STARTED
20 TIPPING FEES AT THEIR LANDFILLS, AND THEY'RE
21 PARANOID OVER ILLEGAL DUMPING THAT MAY BE
22 OCCURRING.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: PLUS, THEY HAVE TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 FIGURE OUT WHAT TO DO WITH ALL THE BEARS WHEN THEY
25 CLOSE ONE OF THE LANDFILLS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MR. LIVINGSTON: WELL, THEY CLOSED HAPPY
2 CAMP, AND THEY DID HAUL ONE BEAR TO YREKA, BUT HE
3 RAN BACK OUT IN THE WOODS. THANK YOU FOR
4 LISTENING.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I HAVE RELATIVES
6 IN SISKIYOU COUNTY. I SPENT A LOT OF TIME THERE.
7 I'VE BEEN TO THE HAPPY CAMP DUMP AND TAKEN BY KIDS
8 THERE TO SEE THE BEARS. I HAVE TO TAKE THEM TO THE
9 ZOO NOW, I GUESS. IT'S NOT QUITE AS EXCITING
10 THOUGH WHEN THEY'RE BEHIND A FENCE AS WHEN THEY'RE
11 JUST BELOW YOU THERE IN THE GARBAGE.

12 NONETHELESS, I THINK I HAVE ENOUGH
13 PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH SISKIYOU COUNTY AND HAVE
14 KNOWN ENOUGH OF THEIR COUNTY OFFICIALS NOW OVER THE
15 YEARS TO KNOW WHAT AN ACHIEVEMENT THIS IS TO GET TO
16 THIS POINT. SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTANCE TO
17 THEM. AND I ALSO THINK IF YOU WOULD PASS ALONG THE
18 COMMITTEE'S COMMENDATION TO HEIDI, THAT WOULD BE
19 MUCH APPRECIATED. I THINK IT'S A WELL-TAKEN POINT
20 AND APPRECIATE IT BEING MADE.

21 MS. VAN KEKERIX: I'D JUST LIKE TO THANK
22 MICHELLE FOR STEPPING IN AT THE LAST MINUTE WHEN
23 HEIDI WAS ORDERED TO STAY HOME WITH HER BAD BACK.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MS. LAWRENCE: IT WAS A CHALLENGE TO DO

25 AGENDA ITEMS FOR DOCUMENTS I HADN'T READ AND ISSUES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 I HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH. SO THANK YOU.

2 BUT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A POINT OF
3 CLARIFICATION AT THE MOMENT ABOUT WHAT WE'RE ASKING
4 THESE THREE CITIES THAT HAVEN'T TAKEN ACTION YET.
5 WHAT WE'RE SPECIFICALLY ASKING THEM TO DO IN THE
6 NEXT YEAR IS FORMALLY ADOPT THROUGH THEIR CITY
7 COUNCIL A POLICY, ADOPTING A PUBLIC PROCUREMENT
8 POLICY AND TO SPECIFY THEIR SUPPORT OF PUBLIC
9 EDUCATION PROGRAMS.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. IS THERE ANYONE
11 ELSE WHO WANTED TO ADDRESS US ON THIS? I WOULD
12 LIKE TO -- I WILL MAKE SURE AND MAKE A POINT DURING
13 MY COMMITTEE REPORT TOMORROW AT THE BOARD MEETING
14 TO NOTE THIS. I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE REST
15 OF THE BOARD TO UNDERSTAND THAT STAFF -- WHAT
16 STAFF'S BEEN DOING RELATIVE TO TRYING TO, AS I
17 SAID, STREAMLINE THE -- TAKE THE BOARD'S DIRECTION
18 TO STREAMLINE THE RURAL ASSISTANCE EFFORTS. AND
19 THIS IS A MAJOR MILESTONE, I THINK. AND SISKIYOU
20 IS ONE OF THE MORE COMPLEX OF THE RURAL COUNTIES,
21 AND SO I THINK IT'S A MAJOR ACHIEVEMENT. I'LL
22 ENTERTAIN A MOTION.

23 MEMBER GOTCH: LET ME ATTEMPT THIS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I GUESS WE PROBABLY
25 NEED SEPARATE MOTIONS FOR THE RESOLUTIONS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MEMBER FRAZEE: FOR EACH ONE.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YOU HAVE THE PAGE.

3 YOU'RE IN THE BOARD AGENDA.

4 MEMBER GOTCH: SO IT'S FIVE RESOLUTIONS;
5 IS THAT CORRECT? THE FIRST ONE WOULD BE RESOLUTION
6 97-468, APPROVAL OF THE TWO-YEAR TIME EXTENSION;
7 RESOLUTION 97 -- OOPS. SEPARATE, SORRY. MAKE THAT
8 MOTION.

9 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND, YES.

10 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE
11 PRIOR ROLL CALL. MOTION PASSES.

12 MEMBER GOTCH: SECOND WOULD BE RESOLUTION
13 97-469, APPROVAL OF THE REDUCTION IN THE YEAR 2000
14 GOAL TO 30 PERCENT.

15 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IF THERE'S NO
17 OBJECTIONS, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
18 MOTION PASSES.

19 MEMBER GOTCH: RESOLUTION 97-470,
20 CONDITIONAL APPROVAL OF THE SRRE.

21 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IF THERE'S NO
23 OBJECTIONS, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AND MOTION PASSES.

25 MEMBER GOTCH: RESOLUTION 97-471, APPROVAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 OF THE NDFE.

2 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IF THERE'S NO
4 OBJECTIONS, WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
5 MOTION PASSES.

6 MEMBER GOTCH: AND FINALLY, RESOLUTION
7 97-472, APPROVAL OF THE HHWE.

8 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S SECONDED. IF
10 THERE'S NO OBJECTIONS, WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR
11 ROLL CALL, AND THIS MOTION PASSES AS WELL.

12 THAT CONCLUDES THE ITEMS FOR ITEM 28,
13 AND WE'LL MOVE ON TO ITEM 29.

14 MS. LAWRENCE: WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF
15 STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE PETITION FOR A
16 REDUCTION IN PLANNING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE SISKIYOU
17 COUNTY RURAL REGIONAL AGENCY. AND IN THIS ITEM
18 WHAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING TO YOU IS THE REQUEST TO --
19 ACTUALLY COMPLETE RELIEF FROM HAVING TO PREPARE A
20 COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN. AND THE REASON THAT WE
21 CONCUR IS THAT WE'VE JUST READ THE REGIONAL SRRE
22 AND THEIR OTHER REGIONAL PLANNING DOCUMENTS AND
23 FEEL THAT EQUIVALENT TO A COUNTYWIDE SUMMARY PLAN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WOULD BE DUPLICATIVE.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: BEFORE WE PROCEED WITH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE DISCUSSION ON THAT, ON ITEM 28, IF THERE'S NO
2 OBJECTION, WE'LL PLACE ITEM 28 ON THE BOARD'S
3 CONSENT CALENDAR.

4 AND NOW ON ITEM 29, ARE THERE ANY
5 QUESTIONS OR DISCUSSION? IF NOT, I'LL ENTERTAIN A
6 MOTION TO ACCEPT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION. AND I
7 PRESUME THERE'S A RESOLUTION ON THAT.

8 MEMBER FRAZEE: 97-472.

9 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S A MOTION.

10 MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT'S THE MOTION.

11 MEMBER GOTCH: SECOND.

12 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE
13 PRIOR ROLL CALL. THAT MOTION CARRIES AS WELL.

14 IN MY BRIEFING WITH STAFF ON THESE
15 TWO ITEMS, I ENCOURAGED STAFF TO PUBLICIZE THIS
16 EFFORT BY SISKIYOU COUNTY AND WHAT THEY HAVE BEEN
17 ABLE TO ACHIEVE PARTLY, I THINK, TO POINT OUT THE
18 IMPORTANCE OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF REGIONAL
19 AGENCIES AS A WAY TO REALLY REDUCE -- TO HELP US
20 STREAMLINE THEIR EFFORTS, AND REALLY IS A -- I
21 THINK STANDS OUT AS AN EXAMPLE AS WELL OF THE
22 EFFECTIVENESS OF THAT PROVISION IN THE LAW. SO
23 THROUGH THEIR VARIOUS WAYS OF GETTING INFORMATION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 OUT TO RURAL JURISDICTIONS, IT WOULD BE GOOD TO
25 HIGHLIGHT WHAT SISKIYOU HAS BEEN ABLE TO ACHIEVE AS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 A RESULT OF THAT REGIONALIZATION.

2 MS. VAN KEKERIX: WE HAVE ALREADY STARTED
3 THAT ACTIVITY BECAUSE THE ISSUE OF INFOCYCLING THAT
4 I SHOWED YOU HAS AN ARTICLE ON REGIONAL AGENCIES.
5 AND ONE OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT'S MENTIONED AS
6 HAVING VERY LARGE COST SAVINGS BECAUSE OF MOVING TO
7 REGIONAL AGENCY IS SISKIYOU COUNTY.

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY.

9 MS. VAN KEKERIX: SO WE WILL CONTINUE TO
10 DO THAT.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANK YOU. WE WILL
12 ALSO PLACE ITEM 29 ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

13 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 30, WHICH IS
14 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ON THE
15 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

16 MS. VAN KEKERIX: AND SURJIT DHILLON OF
17 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE WILL BE OUR PRIMARY
18 PRESENTER WITH YASMINE SATTER FROM THE WASTE
19 CHARACTERIZATION BRANCH AND DIANNE RANGE ALSO OF
20 THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE HELPING HIM OUT.

21 MR. DHILLON: MORNING, CHAIRMAN CHESBRO
22 AND THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ITEM 30 IS
23 CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS. THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND
25 PLANNING COMMITTEE HEARD THIS ITEM ON JULY 1997.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMMITTEE ACCEPTED STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO
2 DISTRIBUTE THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS FOR
3 PUBLIC COMMENT.

4 THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS WAS
5 DISTRIBUTED FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, AND THE COMMENT
6 PERIOD ENDED ON AUGUST 28TH. THE PUBLIC COMMENTS
7 AND THE STAFF RESPONSES WILL BE DISCUSSED LATER IN
8 THIS PRESENTATION.

9 PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTION 41825
10 REQUIRES THE BOARD TO REVIEW EACH CITY, COUNTY, AND
11 REGIONAL AGENCY'S SRRE AND HHWE AT LEAST ONCE EVERY
12 TWO YEARS. THE REVIEW WILL INCLUDE EACH CITY,
13 COUNTY, AND REGIONAL AGENCY'S PROGRESS IN
14 IMPLEMENTING DIVERSION PROGRAMS TO ACHIEVE THE
15 DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS. AS A RESULT OF THIS
16 BIENNIAL REVIEW, THE BOARD MAY EITHER FIND THE
17 JURISDICTION HAS IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND-OR HHWE
18 OR INITIATED COMPLIANCE PROCESS FOR JURISDICTIONS
19 FAILING TO IMPLEMENT THE SRRE AND-OR HHWE.

20 JURISDICTIONS FAILING TO MEET THE
21 PROVISIONS OF THE COMPLIANCE PROCESS MAY BE FINED
22 UP TO \$10,000 PER DAY. BOARD STAFF HAVE DEVELOPED
23 A PROPOSED BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS WHICH IS A

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 STEP-BY-STEP APPROACH. STAFF PROPOSE THAT A
25 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS BEGIN SHORTLY AFTER THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BOARD RECEIVES THE 1996 ANNUAL REPORTS FROM
2 JURISDICTIONS.

3 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW AGENDA ITEMS WILL
4 BE COMING TO THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD SOMETIME EARLY
5 NEXT YEAR. SCHEDULING CRITERIA HAS BEEN DEVELOPED
6 TO ASSIST IN DETERMINING THE ORDER IN WHICH THE
7 JURISDICTION'S DOCUMENTS WILL BE REVIEWED.
8 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE SUBMITTED
9 TWO ANNUAL REPORTS FOR 1995 AND 1996 WOULD BE AMONG
10 THOSE REVIEWED INITIALLY. BOARD STAFF WOULD THEN
11 SCHEDULE FOR REVIEW DOCUMENTS FROM JURISDICTIONS
12 THAT ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE SUBMITTED ONLY THE 1996
13 ANNUAL REPORT. AND FINALLY, ALL REMAINING
14 JURISDICTIONS' DOCUMENTS WOULD BE REVIEWED LAST.

15 THE BOARD HAS THE OPTION OF
16 PERFORMING A BIENNIAL REVIEW ON ANY JURISDICTION
17 REGARDLESS OF SCHEDULING CRITERIA ON A CASE-BY-CASE
18 BASIS. IN CONDUCTING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW, STAFF
19 MAY USE THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF INFORMATION:
20 SRRE'S AND HHWE'S AND AGENDA ITEMS APPROVING THESE
21 DOCUMENTS, ANNUAL REPORTS AND DISPOSAL REPORTING
22 INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY JURISDICTIONS, BOARD
23 APPROVED PETITIONS FOR REDUCTIONS OR EXTENSIONS AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THEIR AGENDA ITEMS, BOARD APPROVED REGIONAL AGENCY

25 AGENDA ITEMS, CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND THE BOARD, STAFF COMMUNICATION RECORDS, OTHER
2 INFORMATION SUBMITTED BY THE JURISDICTIONS, DATA
3 FROM OTHER FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL AGENCIES TO
4 VERIFY PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION AND NUMBERS.

5 IF AFTER CONSIDERING INFORMATION FROM
6 THESE SOURCES STAFF REQUIRES ADDITIONAL
7 INFORMATION, LETTERS REQUESTING NEEDED INFORMATION
8 WILL BE SENT TO JURISDICTIONS.

9 THE REVIEW CRITERIA FOR DETERMINING
10 WHETHER A JURISDICTION HAS MET THE DIVERSION
11 REQUIREMENTS, SUBSEQUENTLY IMPLEMENTED ITS SRRE AND
12 HHWE, AND QUALIFIES FOR STATUTORY RELIEF, INCLUDING
13 GOOD FAITH EFFORT, IS IDENTIFIED IN THE CIWMP
14 ENFORCEMENT POLICY PART II, WHICH WAS ADOPTED BY
15 THE BOARD IN FEBRUARY 1995.

16 BOARD STAFF PROPOSE TO USE THE CIWMP
17 ENFORCEMENT REVIEW CRITERIA TO ANALYZE WHETHER A
18 JURISDICTION HAS MET THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT AND
19 SUBSEQUENTLY IMPLEMENTED ITS PROGRAM AS IDENTIFIED
20 IN THE SRRE AND HHWE.

21 ONCE STAFF HAVE COMPLETED THE
22 ANALYSIS, THE RECOMMENDATION WILL BE MADE AND
23 PRESENTED TO THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COMMITTEE. STAFF ARE PROPOSING A STREAMLINED
25 AGENDA FOR JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE SUFFICIENTLY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IMPLEMENTED THEIR SRRE AND HHWE AND HAVE MET THE
2 DIVERSION REQUIREMENT. THIS STREAMLINED AGENDA
3 WOULD CONSIST OF A SINGLE MONTHLY AGENDA PACKET
4 SUMMARIZING INFORMATION FROM EACH JURISDICTION THAT
5 STAFF DETERMINES HAS MET THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT
6 AND IMPLEMENTED BOARD APPROVED PLANS.

7 IF STAFF ANALYSIS FINDS A
8 JURISDICTION HAS NOT MET THE DIVERSION REQUIREMENT
9 OR HAS NOT SUFFICIENTLY IMPLEMENTED A SRRE OR HHWE,
10 A FULL AGENDA ITEM FOR EACH JURISDICTION WOULD BE
11 PREPARED AND FORWARDED TO THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD
12 FOR CONSIDERATION.

13 A LITERAL READING OF THE PROCESS
14 DESCRIBED IN THE STATUTE WOULD SEEM TO INDICATE
15 THAT STATUTORY RELIEF MEASURES AND GOOD FAITH
16 EFFORTS BE CONSIDERED AT THE END OF THE COMPLIANCE
17 SCHEDULE. STAFF ARE PROPOSING THAT THE BOARD
18 INTERPRET THIS STATUTE TO ALLOW ANALYSIS OF
19 APPLICABLE STATUTORY RELIEF MEASURES AND GOOD FAITH
20 EFFORT DURING THE REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATION STEPS
21 OF THE PROPOSED BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS.

22 A VARIETY OF COMMENTS WERE RECEIVED
23 ON THE DRAFT BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS THAT WAS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CIRCULATED FOR THE 30-DAY PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD. A

25 TOTAL OF SIX JURISDICTIONS PROVIDED WRITTEN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMMENTS AND SEVERAL OTHERS ASKED FOR CLARIFICA-
2 TIONS OVER THE TELEPHONE.

3 SOME OF THESE COMMENTS INCLUDE MINOR
4 EDITS THAT HAVE BEEN INCORPORATED IN THIS AGENDA
5 ITEM. THE OTHER COMMENTS HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AND
6 MANY REQUEST CHANGES IN STATUTE. STAFF DID NOT
7 MODIFY THE PROPOSED BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS TO
8 INCORPORATE THESE COMMENTS.

9 STAFF HAS GROUPED THE COMMENTS INTO
10 THE FOLLOWING GENERAL CATEGORIES. SOME
11 JURISDICTIONS HAVE VOICED THEIR CONCERN REGARDING
12 THE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE BASE-YEAR
13 GENERATION NUMBERS AND DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM.
14 IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THAT THE SUBMITTAL OF 1996
15 ANNUAL REPORTS AND THE BIENNIAL REVIEW SHOULD BE
16 DELAYED UNTIL THE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE
17 BASE-YEAR GENERATION NUMBERS AND THE DISPOSAL
18 REPORTING SYSTEM ARE CORRECTED.

19 STAFF RESPONSE TO THIS ISSUE IS THAT
20 STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING COOPERATIVELY WITH THE
21 LOCAL JURISDICTIONS THROUGH A WORKING GROUP AND
22 REVIEW TEAM TO DEVELOP SOLUTIONS TO THESE
23 PROBLEMS. THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE BY THIS WORKING

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 GROUP WERE APPROVED AT THE MARCH 1997 BOARD MEETING
25 AND HAVE HELPED TO RESOLVE A NUMBER OF BASE-YEAR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GENERATION MEASUREMENT PROBLEMS AND DISPOSAL
2 REPORTING ISSUES. THE BOARD CANNOT GRANT A DELAY
3 IN CONDUCTING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW AND SUBMITTAL OF
4 ANNUAL REPORTS AS THESE ARE REQUIRED BY STATUTE.

5 ANOTHER CATEGORY OF COMMENTS
6 DESCRIBES THE BIENNIAL REVIEW AS AN UNFUNDED
7 MANDATE THAT WOULD INTRODUCE AN ADDITIONAL BURDEN
8 ON JURISDICTIONS. IT HAS BEEN SUGGESTED THAT THE
9 BIENNIAL REVIEW SHOULD BE CHANGED TO A FIVE-YEAR
10 REVIEW.

11 STAFF RESPONSE IS THAT IN PRC SECTION
12 41901, THE LEGISLATURE HAS AUTHORIZED JURISDICTIONS
13 TO IMPOSE FEES IN AMOUNTS SUFFICIENT TO PAY THE
14 COST OF PREPARING, ADOPTING, AND IMPLEMENTING A
15 COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN.
16 JURISDICTIONS MAY WISH TO SEEK STATUTORY CHANGES TO
17 THE LEGISLATION IF THEY BELIEVE THE FREQUENCY OF
18 THE BIENNIAL REVIEW SHOULD BE CHANGED.

19 JURISDICTIONS HAVE ALSO QUESTIONED
20 THE FEASIBILITY OF MEETING THE MANDATED 50-PERCENT
21 DIVERSION GOAL BY THE YEAR 2000. STAFF RESPONSE IS
22 THAT THE CURRENT LAW REQUIRES JURISDICTIONS TO MEET
23 THE 50-PERCENT DIVERSION REQUIREMENTS BY THE YEAR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 2000, BUT ALSO PROVIDES RELIEF THROUGH TIME

25 EXTENSIONS AND REDUCED GOALS. AS OF JANUARY 1,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 1998, ALL JURISDICTIONS MAY PETITION FOR UP TO A
2 MAXIMUM OF FIVE YEARS OF TIME EXTENSIONS. ALSO,
3 ALL JURISDICTIONS MAY PETITION FOR A REDUCED GOAL
4 FOR UP TO A MAXIMUM OF FIVE YEARS. AND
5 JURISDICTIONS THAT MEET THE DEFINITION OF RURAL MAY
6 PETITION FOR A REDUCED GOAL FOR AS LONG AS THEY
7 CONTINUE TO MEET THE CONDITIONS OF THE BOARD
8 APPROVED PETITION.

9 AT THIS TIME STAFF IS RECOMMENDING
10 THAT THE COMMITTEE APPROVE THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
11 PROCESS AND DIRECT STAFF TO PURSUE THE BIENNIAL
12 REVIEW PROCESS AS PRESENTED. THIS CONCLUDES MY
13 PRESENTATION. I'LL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY
14 QUESTIONS.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. ARE THERE
16 QUESTIONS? WE HAVE A SPEAKER REQUEST FROM MR. EVAN
17 EDGAR, REPRESENTING CRRC.

18 MR. EDGAR: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN
19 CHESBRO, MR. FRAZEE, MS. GOTCH. WELCOME BACK.

20 MY NAME IS EVAN EDGAR, EDGAR
21 ASSOCIATES, ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA REFUSE
22 REMOVAL COUNCIL. I'M HERE TODAY REPRESENTING THE
23 PRIVATE-INDEPENDENT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COMPANIES, ABOUT 100 CURBSIDE COLLECTORS, 50 MRF
25 OPERATORS, AND 16 PERMITTED COMPOST INDUSTRIES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COMMITTED TO THE AB 939 GOAL.

2 WE SUPPORT STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION
3 TODAY. WE BELIEVE IT'S TIMELY. AND I DON'T FEEL
4 IT'S AN UNFUNDED MANDATE. I BELIEVE THIS WAS FOR
5 THE CALENDAR YEAR 1995. I SAW NO REASON TO GO WITH
6 '95 AND '96 AND HAVE A BIENNIAL REVIEW MYSELF.
7 SINCE IT'S HERE, LET'S DO IT.

8 I THINK THE FOCUS OF THE GOAL WAS ON
9 1995; BUT SINCE THE STAFF REPORT IS HERE, WE HIGHLY
10 SUPPORT THE PROCESS IN FRONT OF US.

11 AS PART OF THE 50-PERCENT INITIATIVE
12 AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR, ONE OF THE BIG
13 CONCERNS CRRC HAD WAS THAT HOW DO WE GET TO THE
14 50-PERCENT MANDATE IF WE DON'T ENFORCE THE
15 25-PERCENT MANDATE. AND A SECOND MOTION FROM THE
16 WASTE BOARD MADE BY MR. FRAZEE WAS TO START SOME
17 ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES ON THE 25-PERCENT MANDATE TO
18 GET THE WORD BACK ON THE STREET THAT THE WASTE
19 BOARD IS VERY SERIOUS ABOUT THE MANDATES. IT'S NOT
20 A GOAL; IT'S A MANDATE.

21 THERE WERE A LOT OF CONCERNS FROM
22 LOCAL GOVERNMENT, WASTE BOARD STAFFERS, AND MANY
23 PEOPLE IN THE COMMUNITY THAT THERE WOULD NEVER BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ANY PENALTIES. WHY EVEN DO THE NEXT PROGRAM IF

25 THERE WON'T BE PENALTIES AS PART OF THE 25-PERCENT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MANDATE, SO WHY EVEN TALK ABOUT THE 50-PERCENT
2 MANDATE IF 25 IS A HOLLOW ENFORCEMENT MEASURE.

3 TODAY WE DO HAVE SOME ENFORCEMENT
4 ACTIVITY, WHICH WE ARE VERY HAPPY TO SEE AND ARE
5 HIGHLY SUPPORTIVE OF IT.

6 WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IN THE
7 PRIVATE-INDEPENDENT OUT THERE WITHIN OUR
8 JURISDICTIONS IS TRYING TO ROLL OUT THE NEXT
9 PROGRAM AND ADOPT ITS SOURCE REDUCTION AND
10 RECYCLING ELEMENTS. THESE ARE PROGRAMS THAT HAVE
11 BEEN THROUGH LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN EARLY '90S, BEEN
12 ADOPTED AND REAFFIRMED MANY TIMES IN THE CO-WIMPS.
13 AND NOW THAT WE'RE HERE TRYING TO ROLL UP THE NEXT
14 PROGRAM, WE'RE NOT GETTING THOSE PROGRAMS FUNDED
15 AND WE'RE NOT GETTING THEM APPROVED EVEN THOUGH WE
16 HAVE BUILT THE INFRASTRUCTURE IN ORDER TO PROVIDE
17 THOSE DIVERSION PROGRAMS.

18 SO IT'S VERY CRITICAL THAT THE WASTE
19 BOARD HAVE THIS ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURE IN ORDER TO
20 SEND A MESSAGE BACK TO LOCAL GOVERNMENT THAT YOU
21 ARE VERY SERIOUS ABOUT THE PENALTIES. IT IS A
22 MANDATE. WE BELIEVE AB 939 HAS A LOT OF
23 FLEXIBILITY BUILT IN, AND AB 688 IN 1994 WAS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 PROVIDED TO RURAL GOVERNMENTS UNDER 200,000. WE
25 SUPPORTED THAT. WE SUPPORTED SB 1066 WHICH WAS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SIGNED BY THE GOVERNOR WHICH ADDED ADDITIONAL
2 FLEXIBILITIES FOR GOOD FAITH EFFORTS, MULTI-YEAR
3 EXTENSIONS, BASE-YEAR ADJUSTMENTS, AND ALTERNATIVE
4 PERCENTAGES.

5 SO WE ARE HIGHLY SUPPORTIVE OF THAT.
6 AT THE LAST LEAGUE OF CITIES CONFERENCE TWO WEEKS
7 AGO, THERE WAS A PROPOSAL BY SCAG, THE SOUTHERN
8 CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENT, THAT WANTED
9 TO DELAY THE 50-PERCENT MANDATE ANOTHER TEN YEARS,
10 PLUS REMOVE THE MANDATE AND MAKE IT A GOAL. SCAG
11 ATTEMPTED TO HAVE A LEAGUE OF CITIES ADOPT THEIR
12 PROPOSAL. AND TO THE BENEFIT OF AB 939 AND TO THE
13 LEAGUE OF CITIES, WE COMMEND THEM TO NOT CAVING IN
14 TO THAT PRESSURE.

15 THE LEAGUE OF CITIES REAFFIRMED
16 AB 939 SINCE THEY HAVE JUST PASSED AB 1066, WHICH
17 WAS AUTHORED BY THE LEAGUE OF CITIES. SO WE PRAISE
18 THE LEAGUE OF CITIES FOR REAFFIRMING AB 939.

19 BUT HIS DISTURBING MESSAGE WAS, THERE
20 WAS A VETO MESSAGE FROM THE GOVERNOR ON SB 1179
21 ABOUT INDEMNIFICATION. IF YOU READ THE VETO
22 MESSAGE, HE ALLUDED TO THE FACT THAT THERE WON'T BE
23 ANY PENALTIES GIVEN AB 1066. I DON'T THINK 1066

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SAID THAT. 1066 WAS RATHER CLEAR THAT IT REMAINS A
25 MANDATE, NOT A GOAL. SO I THINK THAT WE STILL NEED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TO GET THE WORD BACK ON THE STREET THAT 1066 DOES
2 HAVE FLEXIBILITY, BUT IT IS A MANDATE.

3 WE'RE VERY COMMITTED TO AB 939. WE
4 HAVE BUILT THE MRF INFRASTRUCTURE, WE'RE BUILDING
5 MORE EACH YEAR. WE ARE GOING TO MEET THE 50-
6 PERCENT MANDATE. WE SUPPORTED THE FLEXIBILITIES,
7 BUT THE REASON I'M HERE TODAY IS THE FACT THAT WE
8 NEED TO SEND A MESSAGE BACK TO THE DEFIANT CITIES.

9 LOOKING AT THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
10 PROCESS CHART, IT COULD BE LIKE A 12-STEP PROGRAM,
11 IT LOOKS LIKE. IT'S LIKE A CODEPENDENT STAFF
12 NEGOTIATING BACK AND FORTH AND BACK AND FORTH. AND
13 OPERATING IN GOOD FAITH IS GOOD. I BELIEVE THAT
14 FOUR OF THOSE JURISDICTIONS OPERATE IN GOOD FAITH
15 ON THE 12-STEP PROGRAM AND LEAVES A LOT OF VERY
16 SUCCESSFUL RESULTS FOR A LOT OF PEOPLE.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: CODEPENDENT. I DON'T
18 KNOW WHY I LAUGH BECAUSE IT ONLY ENCOURAGES YOU.

19 MR. EDGAR: BUT -- AND I THINK GOOD FAITH
20 NEGOTIATIONS ARE GOOD, BUT THERE IS A WHOLE LIST OF
21 DEFIANT CITIES OUT THERE THAT HAVEN'T STEPPED UP TO
22 THE PLATE, WHO HAVEN'T RESPONDED TO THE SOURCE
23 REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT SUBMITTALS, AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HAVEN'T RESPONDED TO THE PROGRAMS. I THINK THAT
25 THOSE PEOPLE NEED TO GO FIRST WITH REGARDS TO THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BIENNIAL REVIEW PROCESS INSTEAD OF HAVING THEM GO
2 LAST BECAUSE THE GOOD PEOPLE ARE TRYING TO MAKE IT
3 WORK, AND THE DEFIANT CITIES ARE OUT THERE BEING
4 DEFIANT.

5 SO AS THE PROCESS MOVES FORWARD IN
6 EARLY 1998, I THINK WE HAVE SOME FAT TARGETS OUT
7 THERE THAT SHOULD BE CONSIDERED FIRST OF ALL
8 INSTEAD OF JUST WORKING IN GOOD FAITH WITH THE GOOD
9 FAITH CITIES, WHICH WE ARE SUPPORTIVE OF.

10 BECAUSE THE MANDATE IS ALL WE'VE
11 REALLY GOT LEFT. IT'S A NEW ERA OUT THERE IN
12 1997-1998. THERE IS WEAK MARKETS FOR RECYCLABLES.
13 AS WE KNOW, THEY HAVEN'T REBOUNDED YET. WE HAVE
14 VERY COMPETITIVE LANDFILL PRICING. AS LANDFILL
15 CAPACITY EXPLODES IN CALIFORNIA, WE HAVE NO
16 LANDFILL CRISIS. WE HAVE A LANDFILL PRICING
17 CRISIS. IT'S GETTING VERY, VERY CHEAPER EACH YEAR.

18 WE HAVE PROP 218 CONCERNS ABOUT
19 ROLLING OUT THE NEXT PROGRAM FOR THE 50-PERCENT
20 MANDATE. AND WITH THE CONSOLIDATION OF THE
21 INDUSTRY, WHICH I REPRESENT, A LOT OF NEWCOMERS TO
22 CALIFORNIA ARE LOOKING AT THEIR CORE BUSINESSES.
23 AND CORE BUSINESS IS A CODE WORD FOR MOVING AWAY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 FROM DIVERSION FACILITIES. A LOT OF NEW BUSINESS
25 IN CALIFORNIA DON'T HAVE THE SAME SUPPORT OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DIVERSION FACILITIES THAT WE HAVE IN THE PRIVATE-
2 INDEPENDENT BUSINESSES.

3 SO THERE'S A LOT OF OTHER MESSAGES
4 OUT THERE IN THE MARKETPLACE THAT IS CONFUSING A
5 LOT OF PEOPLE ABOUT THE AB 939 MANDATE. AND WITH
6 THIS MESSAGE TODAY FROM THE WASTE BOARD, IT'S
7 STRONG, IT'S FAIR, IT'S TOUGH LOVE. THAT'S WHAT IT
8 IS. I THINK YOU GUYS GOT TO SHOW YOUR LEADERSHIP
9 HERE AND GET FORWARD WITH THE BIENNIAL REVIEW
10 PROCESS IN EARLY '98. THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT.

11 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THANKS. WELL, ANY
12 QUESTIONS FOR MR. EDGAR? I APPRECIATE YOUR
13 COMMENTS. I'D LIKE TO SORT OF GIVE THE OTHER SIDE
14 AND SAY THAT IT'S A PROCESS THAT I THINK IS
15 GENERALLY SUPPORTED BY LOCAL GOVERNMENTS AND IS --
16 YOU MENTIONED THIS TOO -- I THINK THERE'S A LOT OF
17 FLEXIBILITY BUILT IN.

18 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR US TO, WHILE
19 PROVIDING FLEXIBILITY, HOWEVER, MAKE IT CLEAR THAT
20 THERE IS A BOTTOM LINE. I THINK THAT'S THE POINT
21 MR. EDGAR IS MAKING.

22 THE STORY I ALWAYS TELL TO ILLUSTRATE
23 THIS IS THE TIME I WENT OUT AND GAVE A SPEECH AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE LEAGUE OF CITIES TO A BUNCH OF LOCAL ELECTED

25 OFFICIALS ABOUT GOOD FAITH EFFORT. AND I CAME BACK

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND THE NEXT DAY I GOT A CALL FROM A RECYCLING
2 COORDINATOR WHO SAID THE CITY MANAGER IS PROPOSING
3 TO ELIMINATE MY POSITION BECAUSE THE MAYOR CAME
4 BACK FROM THE MEETING AND SAID YOU SAID THAT WE
5 DON'T HAVE TO DO ANYTHING.

6 AND SO I HAD TO CALL THAT MAYOR UP
7 AND SAY THAT'S NOT WHAT I SAID. I DID SAY THAT
8 WE'VE TRIED TO BUILD IN GOOD FAITH EFFORT, WHICH IS
9 REAL, NOT -- NOT AN EXCUSE FOR NOT MOVING FORWARD.
10 WE'VE TRIED TO BUILD IN FLEXIBILITY. WE'VE TRIED
11 TO STREAMLINE. WE'VE TRIED TO MAKE ALL THIS WORK.
12 BUT I DO THINK THAT THERE NEEDS TO BE A PROCESS,
13 AND THE BOARD DIRECTED THIS WITH MR. FRAZEE'S
14 MOTION THAT DAY, TO MOVE FORWARD. IT'S IN THE LAW
15 ANYWAY, BUT I THINK IT'S AN EMPHASIS BY THE BOARD
16 TO MAKE THAT CLEAR, AND I THINK THIS IS PART OF
17 THAT.

18 I WOULD ASK TO WHAT DEGREE THIS WAS
19 CIRCULATED AND THERE'S AN AWARENESS AMONGST LOCAL
20 GOVERNMENTS THAT WE'RE ACTING ON THIS TODAY.

21 MR. DHILLON: YES. IT WAS CIRCULATED TO
22 ALL THE CITIES, AS WELL AS ALL THE OTHER INTEREST
23 GROUPS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THE LEAGUE AND
25 CSAC HAVE BEEN SUPPORTIVE OF US FOLLOWING THROUGH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WITH THESE PROCEDURES IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE
2 FLEXIBILITY THAT WE'VE SHOWN BECAUSE I THINK THEY
3 RECOGNIZE THAT THE MAJORITY OF JURISDICTIONS ARE
4 PROCEEDING.

5 MR. DHILLON: RCRC ALSO CALLED, AND THEY
6 SAID THEY HAD NO COMMENTS AND THEY LIKED IT.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I GUESS THE FLIP
8 SIDE OF THE MESSAGE MR. EDGAR WOULD LIKE US TO
9 SEND, I'D ALSO LIKE TO EMPHASIZE, I THINK, THE
10 IMPORTANCE FOR US, SINCE WE'RE GOING TO BE ACTING,
11 I BELIEVE, ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ON THE ONES THAT
12 ARE GOING TO BE APPROVED. THE ONES THAT ARE GOING
13 TO BE COMING BEFORE US WILL BE THE ONES THAT ARE
14 THE PROBLEM ITEMS. I WANT TO MAKE SURE IT DOESN'T
15 APPEAR THAT WE'RE ONLY ACTING ON -- THAT THE
16 MAJORITY ARE SOMEHOW THE PROBLEM COMMUNITIES, WHICH
17 THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE.

18 SO I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE THAT WE
19 HAVE A PROCESS, AND MAYBE WE CAN INCLUDE THIS IN
20 THE MOTION, THAT THE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE WORK
21 WITH LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING TO PROVIDE
22 EITHER FROM THE BOARD OR MAYBE ONE FOR A LOCAL
23 JURISDICTION TO RELEASE THEMSELVES LOCAL PRESS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 RELEASES FOR EACH OF THE JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE
25 BEING APPROVED FOR THEIR -- SO THAT WE'RE GETTING

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE WORD OUT TO THOSE JURISDICTIONS THAT THERE'S
2 REWARDS AS WELL AS POTENTIAL PENALTIES. AND THAT
3 WE ALSO BE SENDING A VERY LAUDATORY, CONGRATULATORY
4 LETTER FROM THE BOARD TO THE LOCAL JURISDICTION,
5 ASKING IT TO BE AN AGENDA ITEM, IF YOU WILL, AT THE
6 LOCAL LEVEL TO TRY TO EMPHASIZE IN THOSE
7 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE MET THE REQUIREMENTS THE
8 BOARD'S PRAISE AND THE POSITIVE RESPONSE TO THAT.
9 SO I'D LIKE TO SEE US VERY MUCH DO THAT AS WELL AS
10 DELIVER THE MESSAGE THAT WE HEARD FROM CRRC.

11 OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

12 MEMBER GOTCH: ANY OTHER SPEAKERS?

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT'S THE ONLY ONE ON
14 THIS ITEM.

15 MS. RANGE: I JUST WANTED TO MAKE ONE
16 POINT OF CLARIFICATION FOR MR. EVAN EDGAR'S
17 COMMENTS. AND IT HAS TO DO WITH PRIMARILY THE
18 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT YET SUBMITTED THEIR
19 PLANS. WE ARE, JUST TO REAFFIRM, THAT WE ARE
20 INVOLVED IN THE ENFORCEMENT PROCESS RIGHT NOW WHERE
21 THOSE JURISDICTIONS WILL BE COMING TO THE BOARD
22 FAIRLY SOON. AND WE ARE WORKING ON MAKING SURE
23 THAT THAT DOES HAPPEN PRIOR TO THE JURISDICTIONS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THAT HAVE ALREADY IMPLEMENTED PLANS OR SUBMITTED
25 PLANS ANYWAY. JUST TO THAT POINT, JUST FOR THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CLARIFICATION.

2 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I GUESS I JUST
3 RESPONDED TO THE FORMS OR LACK THEREOF. IS THERE
4 ANYBODY ELSE HERE WHO CAME TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM
5 THAT HASN'T FILLED OUT A SPEAKER FORM? OKAY. JUST
6 WANTED TO DOUBLE-CHECK.

7 I'LL ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO APPROVE
8 STAFF'S RECOMMENDATIONS ON THIS ITEM. AND I HOPE
9 THAT WE WOULD ADD TO THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT
10 I MADE WITH REGARDS TO WORKING WITH PUBLIC AFFAIRS
11 AND ALSO PROVIDING -- TO PROVIDE LOCAL PRESS
12 RELEASES FOR THE JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE MET THE
13 REQUIREMENTS AND PROVIDE A BOARD LETTER TO THE
14 LOCAL JURISDICTION ANNOUNCING THEIR APPROVAL AND
15 COMMENDING THEM ON THEIR COMPLIANCE.

16 MEMBER GOTCH: SO MOVED.

17 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED.

18 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECONDED.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
20 SECONDED. WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
21 MOTION PASSES THREE ZERO. AND I THINK WE HAD
22 TALKED ABOUT NOT PLACING THIS ON CONSENT BECAUSE
23 IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THE WHOLE BOARD TO BE UP TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SPEED AND INFORMED ON WHERE WE'RE GOING WITH THIS,
25 ESPECIALLY SINCE THE BOARD MADE THAT SPECIFIC

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MOTION ON ENFORCEMENT OF THE 25 PERCENT. THANK YOU
2 VERY MUCH.

3 MS. VAN KEKERIX: AND I THINK THAT EARLY
4 NEXT YEAR THE BOARD WILL BE ACTING IN TWO WAYS ON
5 THE ENFORCEMENT, THE PLAN ADEQUACY AND ENFORCEMENT
6 FOR THE JURISDICTIONS THAT DON'T HAVE THEIR PLANS
7 IN AND BEGINNING THE BIENNIAL REVIEW, WHICH IS THE
8 PLAN IMPLEMENTATION. AND THOSE ARE THE TWO AREAS
9 UNDER THE LAW THAT THE BOARD HAS AUTHORITY TO
10 ENFORCE. SO EARLY IN '98 THE BOARD WILL HAVE AN
11 OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE FORWARD ON BOTH OF THOSE AREAS
12 OF ENFORCEMENT.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. NEXT ITEM IS
14 ITEM 31, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF STAFF PROPOSAL
15 TO DEVELOP THE INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM.

16 MS. VAN KEKERIX: PAT SCHIAVO OF WASTE
17 CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH, DOUG RALSTON
18 OF INFORMATION MANAGEMENT BRANCH ARE GOING TO GIVE
19 THE PRESENTATION ON THIS.

20 MR. SCHIAVO: GOOD MORNING. WE'RE HERE
21 TODAY TO PRESENT THE INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM
22 CONCEPT, AND IT'S OUR FIRST ATTEMPT AT ACTUALLY
23 LINKING THE BOARD'S INFORMATION RESOURCES. AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BECAUSE IT'S SUCH A LARGE UNDERTAKING, IT'S ONE
25 THAT WE HAVE NEVER PURSUED BEFORE IN THE HISTORY OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE BOARD. WE WANT TO TAKE A PHASED APPROACH IN
2 LOOKING AT WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE TO ACTUALLY LINK
3 ALL OF OUR DATABASES.

4 IT'S GOING -- AT THIS POINT IN TIME,
5 IT'S GOING TO TAKE AN UNKNOWN AMOUNT OF RESOURCES
6 AND AN UNKNOWN AMOUNT OF TIME TO INTEGRATE ALL OF
7 OUR DATABASES; SO BY TAKING THE PHASED APPROACH,
8 WE'LL HAVE A BETTER CONCEPT OF WHAT IT WILL TAKE
9 DOWN THE ROAD TO ACTUALLY DO THIS AND DO IT
10 SUCCESSFULLY.

11 THE FIRST PHASE OF THE PROJECT WILL
12 BE TO LOOK AT FOUR PARTICULAR DATABASES THAT WILL
13 HAVE A LOT OF INFORMATION THAT'S IN COMMON THAT
14 SERVES OUR IMMEDIATE BUSINESS NEED; AND BY LINKING
15 THOSE DATABASES, WHICH WE'RE TRYING TO DO BY
16 DECEMBER 15TH OF THIS YEAR, WE'LL HAVE A BETTER
17 IDEA OF WHAT IT'S GOING TO TAKE IN FUTURE YEARS TO
18 ACTUALLY LINK PHASE II DATABASES.

19 THE PHASE II DATABASES ARE GOING TO
20 INCLUDE MARKET INFORMATION, SUCH AS LOAN ZONE DATA,
21 CALMAX INFORMATION, SOME OF THE OTHER LISTINGS THAT
22 THEY HAVE REGARDING POTENTIALLY COMPOST DATA THAT
23 THEY HAVE BEEN COLLECTING. THAT WOULD BE SOME OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE MARKET INFORMATION THAT WE'D BE INCLUDING.

25 SOME OF THE OTHER INFORMATION WE'RE LOOKING AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 INCLUDING IN THE SYSTEM WOULD BE THE AUTOMATED
2 DIVERSION PLANNING TOOLS INFORMATION THAT WOULD
3 LINK INTO THE SYSTEM, THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION
4 INFORMATION DATABASE, AND OTHER DATA AS WE SEE FIT
5 IN THE PHASE II.

6 PHASE III IS YET TO BE DETERMINED.
7 THAT WILL BE PREDICATED ON THE SUCCESS OF THE PHASE
8 II INTEGRATION EFFORT. AND ONCE WE COMPLETE THE
9 PILOT PHASE, WE'LL HAVE A BETTER HANDLE ON WHAT
10 IT'S GOING TO TAKE FOR PHASE II AS FAR AS RESOURCES
11 AND TIME FRAMES. AGAIN, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, WE
12 DON'T HAVE A HANDLE ON THAT AT THIS POINT UNTIL WE
13 COMPLETE PHASE I. UPON THE COMPLETION OF PHASE I,
14 WE'LL ALSO HAVE A SUMMARY REPORT SHOWING THE
15 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE EFFORT, AS WELL AS
16 WHETHER WE SHOULD PURSUE PHASE II OR NOT. SO THAT
17 WILL BE A KEY DECISION POINT IN THIS PROCESS.

18 SOME OF THE MAJOR OBJECTIVES OF THIS
19 EFFORT ARE TO SOLVE REALTIME OR REAL BUSINESS NEEDS
20 THAT WE HAVE EXPERIENCED HERE AT THE BOARD. WE'VE
21 AT THIS POINT IN TIME COME UP WITH POTENTIALLY 20
22 DIFFERENT SCENARIOS AS FAR AS INFORMATION THAT WE
23 CAN DISSEMINATE FROM THE SYSTEM. AND AGAIN, THOSE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ARE BASED ON REALTIME REQUESTS THAT WE RECEIVE FROM
25 OUTSIDE PARTIES, YOURSELVES, EXECUTIVE STAFF, AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 INFORMATION THAT WE ACTUALLY DESIRE OURSELVES.
2 SOME EXAMPLES OF THE INFORMATION
3 WOULD BE DISPOSAL AMOUNTS BY LOCATION, LANDFILL
4 CAPACITY INFORMATION, WASTE MATERIAL FLOW FROM --
5 AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE A VERY COMPLEX DISPOSAL SYSTEM
6 HERE IN THE STATE, SO WE'D BE ABLE TO DEPICT WHAT
7 THE ACTUAL FLOW OF MATERIALS WOULD BE BETWEEN
8 COUNTIES, LOOKING AT THE PERMIT STATUS OF THE
9 LANDFILLS AND OTHER FACILITIES IN THE STATE. ALSO
10 IN THE FIRST PHASE BE ABLE TO SHOW KEY
11 TRANSPORTATION CORRIDORS, AS WELL AS RAIL LINES AND
12 SOME DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION THAT WILL HELP US GET
13 A HANDLE ON WHAT'S GOING ON IN OUR STATE, AS WELL
14 AS LOOKING AT POTENTIAL WASTESHEDS THAT WE HAVE
15 THAT WE COULD KEY UPON.

16 AGAIN, SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT
17 WE'LL SEE FROM THE FIRST PHASE WILL BE CAN WE
18 ACTUALLY COMPLETE THIS DATA IN A TIMELY MANNER AND
19 HAVE IT ACTUALLY ENTERED CORRECTLY AND MAKE IT VERY
20 USEFUL TO OUR OUTSIDE PARTIES THAT ARE INTERESTED
21 IN THIS?

22 MR. RALSTON: AS PAT HAS MENTIONED, WE'RE
23 BEGINNING TO LINK SOME OF THE DATA RESOURCES THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WE HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING HERE AT THE BOARD THE LAST
25 FOUR TO FIVE YEARS. AS THE ORGANIZATION HAS BEEN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MATURING, THE AMOUNT AND TYPE OF DATA THAT WE'VE
2 BEEN COLLECTING HAS ALSO GROWN AND BECOME MORE
3 SOPHISTICATED. THIS APPROACH THAT WE'RE TAKING
4 WITH THE INTEGRATED DATA SYSTEM PILOT IS A WAY FOR
5 US TO BEGIN TO EXPLORE MORE IN-DEPTH SOME OF THE
6 BUSINESS QUESTIONS WE'RE BEING ASKED. AND WE DO
7 THAT BY TAKING DATA FROM A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT
8 SOURCES.

9 IN LOOKING AT THE SOURCES FOR THIS
10 PARTICULAR PILOT, WE DECIDED TO TAKE THOSE
11 DATABASES THAT DEALT WITH FACILITIES AND-OR HAD
12 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS. WE DID THIS FOR THE REASON THAT
13 EACH OF THOSE DATABASES IS KEY TO A SPECIFIC
14 PROGRAM HERE AT THE BOARD. AND SO BY TAKING
15 SOMETHING THAT DEALS WITH A SPECIFIC LOCATION OR
16 SITE, WE'RE DEALING WITH SOMETHING THAT'S FAIRLY
17 TANGIBLE AND ALSO WE'RE ABLE TO BRING THEN THE DATA
18 FROM THOSE PARTICULAR SITES TOGETHER TO SEE HOW WE
19 CAN ARRANGE THE DATA AND MAKE IT MORE MEANINGFUL.

20 WE'LL BE LOOKING AT THE INFORMATION
21 FROM BOTH A TECHNOLOGY STANDPOINT; CAN WE BRING
22 THESE DATABASES TOGETHER AND LINK THEM, BUT ALSO
23 FROM A POINT OF INTEGRITY AND ACCURACY OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 INFORMATION. FOR EXAMPLE, HOW COMPLETE IS THE
25 INFORMATION? HOW CURRENT IS IT? WHAT ARE SOME

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 STANDARDS AND TERMS OR DEFINITIONS WE NEED TO COME
2 TO GRIPS WITH, AND AN EXAMPLE MIGHT BE COMPOST.

3 WITHIN THE THREE LINE DIVISIONS HERE
4 AT THE BOARD, IF YOU ASK SOMEBODY ABOUT COMPOST,
5 YOU MAY GET THREE DIFFERENT ANSWERS. SO WE NEED TO
6 START THINKING ABOUT HOW THIS INFORMATION LINKS
7 TOGETHER AND WHAT IT MEANS.

8 FINALLY, WE NEED TO TAKE A LOOK AT
9 THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE DATABASES. IS THERE
10 INFORMATION WE'RE NOT COLLECTING THAT WE SHOULD?
11 IS THERE INFORMATION THAT WE'RE COLLECTING THAT WE
12 SHOULDN'T? SO THOSE ARE SOME OF THE IDEAS OR SOME
13 OF THE CONCEPTS WE'LL BE LOOKING AT IN THIS PILOT
14 PROJECT.

15 MR. SCHIAVO: AS WE MENTIONED, FOR THE
16 FIRST PHASE OF THE EFFORT, WE'RE LOOKING AT
17 INTEGRATING FOUR DATABASES HERE AT THE BOARD. THE
18 FOUR DATABASES ARE THE LANDFILL CAPACITY GIS
19 SYSTEM, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS CALF. THAT'S CURRENTLY
20 MAINTAINED BY THE OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE, AND
21 IT SHOWS CAPACITY BY LANDFILL.

22 THE NEXT DATABASES IS THE SWIS II
23 DATABASE CURRENTLY MAINTAINED BY THE PERMITS AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ENFORCEMENT DIVISION, AND IT SHOWS PERMIT STATUS OF
25 FACILITIES AND SOME OF THE KEY INFORMATION THERE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RELATED TO THE FACILITIES.

2 THE NEXT SYSTEM WOULD BE OR DATABASE
3 WOULD BE THE BOE TIPPING FEE DATABASE, AND THIS
4 SHOWS -- THIS IS INFORMATION THAT WE GET FROM THE
5 BOARD OF EQUALIZATION REGARDING OUR FEE AMOUNTS.
6 AND THIS IS MAINTAINED BY ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES.

7 AND FINALLY, WE HAVE THE DISPOSAL
8 REPORTING DATABASE, WHICH IS MAINTAINED BY THE
9 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH. AND
10 THIS SHOWS THE STATUS OF WASTE BY COMMUNITY. AND
11 ALL THESE HAVE RELATIONAL INFORMATION. THEY ALL
12 DEAL WITH WASTE MATERIALS. AND AGAIN, WE'RE
13 STARTING TO LINK THESE BECAUSE THEY SERVE IMMEDIATE
14 BUSINESS NEEDS HERE AT THE BOARD.

15 SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT WE'RE
16 LOOKING AT REGARDING THIS IS GOING TO BE TO DEVELOP
17 STANDARD REPORTS. WE'VE ALREADY CAME UP
18 WITH --

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WAS TRYING TO FIGURE
20 OUT WAS THAT A SHOVEL.

21 MR. SCHIAVO: DEPENDS ON HOW YOU WANT TO
22 LOOK AT IT.

23 SO THE DELIVERABLES ARE GOING TO BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 STANDARD REPORTS, WHICH WE'VE BEGUN DEFINING AT
25 THIS POINT IN TIME. WE'LL BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 INFORMATION FROM A TEXTUAL BASIS OR GRAPHICALLY
2 LOOK AT IT. WE'LL BE ABLE TO DEVELOP AD HOC
3 REPORTS AS SOON AS WE START GETTING MORE USED TO
4 THE INFORMATION THAT'S CONTAINED -- THAT SERVES THE
5 STANDARD REPORTS, WE'LL BE ABLE TO COME UP WITH
6 MORE CREATIVE IDEAS AND QUERIES REGARDING THE
7 INFORMATION.

8 WE'LL BE ABLE TO USE THE GIS SYSTEM
9 TO MAP OUT, YOU KNOW, FACILITIES AND THE POPULATION
10 DATA WHETHER OR NOT -- WE'LL BE ABLE TO LOOK AT
11 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE ACTUALLY MET THE GOAL AND
12 THEIR STATUS, ETC. AS FAR AS THE DELIVERY SYSTEM
13 ON THIS, WE'LL BE USING BOTH THE INTERNET AND
14 INTRANET, SO IT WILL HAVE INSTANT ACCESS INTERNALLY
15 AS WELL AS OUR CUSTOMERS ON THE EXTERNAL SIDE WILL
16 BE ABLE TO VISIT THE INFORMATION AND QUERY DATA.

17 MR. RALSTON: ONE OF THE THINGS THAT, FROM
18 A TECHNOLOGICAL POINT OF VIEW, THAT WE'RE VERY
19 INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT IS HOW DO WE INTEGRATE
20 THESE VARIOUS PIECES OF SOFTWARE TOGETHER TO MAKE A
21 MEANINGFUL PRESENTATION OF THE DATA, AS WELL AS
22 MAKING A MEANINGFUL SET OF DATA FOR DECISION
23 MAKERS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE WITH THESE
25 VARIOUS TOOLS YOU SEE ON THE SCREEN, ALL OF WHICH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WE HAVE HERE AT THE BOARD AND THAT WE'RE USING IN
2 SOME CAPACITY, IS TO START USING THEM DIFFERENTLY
3 AND INTERRELATING THEM SUCH THAT, AS WE MAP THESE
4 DIFFERENT SITES, THAT WE'D BE ABLE TO PUT THEM ON
5 THE INTRANET OR THE BOARDNET TO BEGIN TO MIX AND
6 COMBINE SOME OF THE MEDIA AS WELL AS INTRODUCE, I
7 THINK, MORE STRINGENT DATABASE ADMINISTRATION
8 STANDARD FOR THE BOARD TO ENSURE THAT OUR DATA HAS
9 A HIGH DEGREE OF INTEGRITY AND ACCURACY.

10 FINALLY, WE'LL BE USING THE MICROSOFT
11 OFFICE SUITE OF TOOLS TO GENERATE THE VARIOUS
12 REPORTS. AGAIN, THESE ARE NOT NEW PIECES OF
13 SOFTWARE, BUT WE'LL BE USING WHAT WE DO HAVE, WE
14 THINK, IN A BETTER AND DIFFERENT WAY.

15 MR. SCHIAVO: CRITICAL FACTORS FOR SUCCESS
16 OF THIS PROJECT ARE GOING TO BE APPROVAL OF THE
17 PILOT PROJECT PROPOSAL, WHETHER YOU WANT US TO GO
18 FORWARD OR NOT. ANOTHER ONE IS GOING TO BE THE
19 ACTUAL ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT. IS THIS
20 ORGANIZATION ACTUALLY READY TO PURSUE SOMETHING
21 SUCH AS THIS, WHICH IS VERY LARGE IN SCOPE. IT'S
22 GOING TO REQUIRE A LOT OF COOPERATION BETWEEN ALL
23 THE DIVISIONS AND OFFICES WITHIN THE ORGANIZATION,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AND IT TRULY IS GOING TO BE INTEGRATION FEATURES,
25 AS DOUG MENTIONED EARLIER, SUCH AS WE'RE GOING TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 HAVE TO COME TO SOME CONCLUSIONS REGARDING KEY
2 DEFINITIONS, HOW WE TREAT INFORMATION, AND AGAIN
3 TRULY COOPERATING WITH OURSELVES WITHIN THE
4 ORGANIZATION. AND IT'S ALSO GOING TO REQUIRE FULL
5 BOARD SUPPORT TO PURSUE NOT ONLY THIS FIRST PHASE,
6 BUT THE SUBSEQUENT PHASES AND THE RESOURCE
7 COMMITMENT THAT ALSO MAY BE ATTACHED TO THIS
8 EFFORT.

9 SO THAT --

10 MR. RALSTON: I'D JUST LIKE TO ADD ONE
11 MORE COMMENT, AND THAT IS THE FOCUS THAT WE'RE
12 TAKING ON THIS BY ACTUALLY BRINGING A NUMBER OF
13 DIFFERENT DATABASES TOGETHER AND LINKING THEM, I
14 THINK, IS VERY MUCH IN KEEPING WITH THE STRATEGIC
15 PLAN DIRECTION THAT THE BOARD IS UNDERTAKING BY
16 FOCUSING IN ON VARIOUS KINDS OF ISSUES,
17 WASTESTREAMS, WHAT HAVE YOU.

18 THE PROCEDURES AND THE BUSINESS
19 PROCESSES WE'LL BE PUTTING INTO PLACE HERE WILL
20 FACILITATE THAT KIND OF A FOCUS AND WILL ALLOW, I
21 THINK, THE BOARD TO EXTEND ITSELF IN TERMS OF
22 ACTUALLY GOING OUT AND CREATING NEW INFORMATION AND
23 GATHERING THE TYPE OF INFORMATION THAT WE NEED IN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MAKING A BETTER PRESENTATION OF IT FOR DECISION

25 MAKERS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MR. SCHIAVO: THAT CONCLUDES THE
2 PRESENTATION. ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS?

3 MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST ONE THOUGHT. THIS
4 ALL REVOLVES AROUND MSW REPORTING, AND SO THAT
5 BRINGS TO MIND, BECAUSE WE ARE SPENDING SO MUCH
6 TIME ON TIRES THESE DAYS, IS THERE ANY THOUGHT
7 ABOUT INCLUDING TIRE INFORMATION IN THIS, OR IS
8 THAT IN ANOTHER PHASE?

9 MR. SCHIAVO: SUBSEQUENT PHASE AT THIS
10 POINT IN TIME. WE'RE -- AGAIN, WE'RE LOOKING AT
11 TRYING TO -- IN THE FIRST PHASE WE'RE TRYING TO
12 KEEP IT AS SIMPLIFIED AS WE POSSIBLY CAN JUST TO
13 SEE WHAT IT IS GOING TO TAKE. AND WE'RE LOOKING AT
14 DIRECT RELATED INFORMATION. IN THIS CASE WE PICKED
15 THE DISPOSAL INFORMATION BECAUSE IT'S A KEY ELEMENT
16 RIGHT NOW FOR BUSINESS NEEDS AS FAR AS THE STATUS
17 OF PERMITS, THE FUND ITSELF, THE GOAL MEASUREMENT.
18 SO THAT'S WHY WE'RE TAKING THE ROUTE WE TOOK.

19 MR. RALSTON: ONE ADDITIONAL PIECE OF
20 INFORMATION, WE IN THE INFORMATION MANAGEMENT
21 BRANCH HAVE BEEN WORKING WITH PERMITTING AND
22 ENFORCEMENT DIVISION TO DO AN ACTUAL GIS MAPPING
23 AND DATA COLLECTION EFFORT FOR TIRES AND TIRE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 PILES. SO THAT IS GOING ON AS WELL. IT'S JUST

25 RIGHT NOW IT'S NOT INTEGRATED OR SEEN AS BEING PART

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 OF THIS PILOT SCOPE, BUT IT CERTAINLY WILL BE.

2 MEMBER FRAZEE: GOOD.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, THIS IS, IT SEEMS
4 TO ME, TO BE A CRITICAL STEP BOTH FROM THE
5 STANDPOINT OF ACTUALLY BEGINNING TO INTEGRATE THE
6 DIFFERENT FUNCTIONS THAT WE PERFORM THAT ARE
7 RELATED, BUT WE'VE WORKED AND STRUGGLED TO FIGURE
8 OUT HOW THEY WORK TOGETHER. AND THAT'S ONE, BUT
9 THE OTHER IS JUST IN TERMS OF THE PRACTICAL
10 APPLICATIONS AND MAXIMIZING THE POWER OF
11 INFORMATION HERE AND OF THE DATA THAT WE'VE PUT SO
12 MUCH EFFORT INTO GATHERING. THIS IS EXCELLENT, AND
13 I'M VERY MUCH SUPPORTIVE OF IT. I THINK IT'S GREAT
14 TO SEE THE DIFFERENT DIVISIONS WORKING TOGETHER AND
15 UTILIZING EXISTING RESOURCES, AT LEAST AT THAT
16 PHASE, TO DEVELOP THIS JOINT CROSS-DIVISIONAL
17 PROJECT.

18 SO I'M VERY HAPPY WITH IT AND HAPPY
19 TO SUPPORT IT AND RECOMMEND IT TO THE BOARD. HOW
20 ABOUT THE REST OF THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS?

21 MEMBER GOTCH: I'M DELIGHTED TO SEE US
22 MOVING IN THIS DIRECTION. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT
23 I'VE BEEN REQUESTING FOR A WHILE, AND ITS A

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COMMON-SENSE MOVE FORWARD. SO IF I MAY, I'LL GO
25 AHEAD AND MAKE A MOTION, AND THAT'S TO ACCEPT STAFF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PILOT PROJECT. AND THAT
2 WOULD BE RESOLUTION NO. 97-494.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY.

4 MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
6 SECONDED. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? IF
7 NOT, WE WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
8 MOTION CARRIES. IT'S NOT ON CONSENT, I DON'T
9 BELIEVE.

10 MS. VAN KEKERIX: WE WOULD PREFER TO
11 PRESENT TO THE FULL BOARD SO THAT WE CAN GET THE
12 FULL BOARD SUPPORT ON THIS AND HAVE THEM KNOW
13 WHAT'S GOING ON.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANKS VERY
15 MUCH. WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ABOUT A FIVE-MINUTE
16 BREAK.

17 (RECESS TAKEN.)

18 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. WE ARE BACK IN
19 SESSION, AND I UNDERSTAND THAT BOARD MEMBER GOTCH
20 HAS AN EX PARTE.

21 MEMBER GOTCH: YES. I JUST HAD A BRIEF
22 CONVERSATION WITH KEVIN MILLER, THE RECYCLING
23 COORDINATOR FOR NAPA, REGARDING RMDZ LOANS. I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THINK THAT WAS BASICALLY WHAT WE DISCUSSED.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. THANK YOU. THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 32, WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF
2 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO IMPROVE THE EXISTING
3 DISPOSAL REPORTING PROCESS.

4 MS. VAN KEKERIX: MITCH WEISS FROM THE
5 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH WILL BE
6 DOING THIS PRESENTATION ON WAYS TO STREAMLINE
7 REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM AND
8 REDUCE THE STAFF WORK ON THIS.

9 MR. WEISS: GOOD MORNING, COMMITTEE
10 MEMBERS. THIS ITEM CONTAINS TWO RECOMMENDATIONS TO
11 IMPROVE THE DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM IN TWO
12 AREAS. THE FIRST IS TO LIMIT THE NUMBER OF REVISED
13 DISPOSAL REPORTS THAT WILL BE ACCEPTED. THE SECOND
14 IS A RECOMMENDED PROCEDURE TO RECONCILE LANDFILL
15 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS SUBMITTED THROUGH THE DISPOSAL
16 REPORTING SYSTEM WITH THOSE SUBMITTED BY LANDFILLS
17 TO THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

18 REGARDING REVISED DISPOSAL REPORTS,
19 ALTHOUGH MOST COUNTIES AND REGIONS SUBMIT DISPOSAL
20 REPORTS IN A TIMELY MANNER, MANY COUNTIES AND
21 REGIONS SUBMIT NUMEROUS REVISIONS LONG AFTER THE
22 DUE DATE OF THE QUARTER. THE DISPOSAL REPORTING
23 REGULATIONS DO NOT MAKE PROVISIONS FOR THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SUBMITTAL OF REVISED REPORTS.

25 PROCESSING THE FREQUENT AND NUMEROUS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 REVISIONS HAS PROVEN VERY TIME-CONSUMING. THE
2 FREQUENCY AND QUANTITY OF REVISIONS HAS ALSO CAUSED
3 DIFFICULTIES FOR JURISDICTIONS, COUNTIES, AND
4 DISPOSAL FACILITIES. THEREFORE, STAFF IS
5 RECOMMENDING THAT THE BOARD ONLY ACCEPT ONE REVISED
6 DISPOSAL REPORT FROM EACH COUNTY OR REGION FOR EACH
7 REPORT YEAR AND THAT THAT REVISED DISPOSAL REPORT
8 ONLY BE ACCEPTED FROM MAY 15TH -- EXCUSE ME -- FROM
9 APRIL 15TH TO MAY 15TH OF THE FOLLOWING YEAR.

10 STAFF IS ALSO RECOMMENDING THAT 19 --
11 THAT REVISIONS TO 1995 DISPOSAL REPORTS NO LONGER
12 BE ACCEPTED AND THAT REVISIONS TO 1996 DISPOSAL
13 REPORTS ONLY BE ACCEPTED UNTIL NOVEMBER 30, 1997.

14 THIS OPTION BALANCES THE NEED FOR
15 ACCURATE DISPOSAL REPORTING DATA WITH THE EFFECTIVE
16 USE OF STAFF RESOURCES. PLEASE NOTE THAT THESE
17 RECOMMENDATIONS DO NOT RESTRICT A COUNTY OR
18 REGION'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE JURISDICTIONS WITH
19 REVISED DISPOSAL REPORTING INFORMATION AT ANY TIME,
20 NOR DO THEY RESTRICT A JURISDICTION FROM SUBMITTING
21 INFORMATION REVISING ITS REPORTING YEAR DISPOSAL IN
22 ITS ANNUAL REPORT.

23 THE SECOND AREA IS THE RECONCILING OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 DISPOSAL REPORTING DATA WITH BOE DATA. THE

25 DISPOSAL REPORTING REGULATIONS REQUIRE THAT THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS REPORTED FOR A LANDFILL EQUAL THE
2 AMOUNT REPORTED BY THAT LANDFILL TO THE BOARD OF
3 EQUALIZATION. WHILE THE MAJORITY OF THE LANDFILL
4 DISPOSAL AMOUNTS ARE WITHIN ONE PERCENT, THERE ARE
5 NUMEROUS REPORTS THAT CONTAIN LARGE DISCREPANCIES.

6 STAFF IS RECOMMENDING A PROCEDURE
7 WHEREBY A COUNTY WOULD BE NOTIFIED IF THE DATA
8 SUBMITTED FOR THAT LANDFILL DIFFERS FROM THE DATA
9 SUBMITTED BY THAT LANDFILL TO THE BOARD OF
10 EQUALIZATION BY MORE THAN ONE PERCENT. IF THAT
11 AMOUNT WAS NOT CORRECTED WITHIN 30 DAYS AND THE
12 AMOUNT SUBMITTED BY THE COUNTY WAS LESS THAN THE
13 AMOUNT REPORTED TO THE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, THE
14 DIFFERENCE WOULD BE CONSIDERED ORPHAN WASTE AND
15 ASSIGNED TO THE JURISDICTION IN WHICH THE FACILITY
16 IS LOCATED.

17 IF THE DISPOSAL REPORT WERE NOT
18 CORRECTED WITHIN 30 DAYS AND THE COUNTY REPORTED
19 MORE THAN THE LANDFILL REPORTED TO THE BOARD OF
20 EQUALIZATION, THE JURISDICTIONS DISPOSING AT THAT
21 FACILITY WOULD BE NOTIFIED OF THE DISCREPANCY AND
22 THAT IT MAY HAVE AN EFFECT TO THE MEASUREMENT OF
23 THE DIVERSION GOAL.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THESE TWO PROCESSES WOULD PROVIDE
25 ENCOURAGEMENT TO THE JURISDICTIONS TO WORK WITH THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LANDFILLS AND COUNTIES TO BRING THEM INTO
2 COMPLIANCE AND TO REPORT THE CORRECT AMOUNT.

3 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. IF
4 YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER
5 THEM.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ARE THERE QUESTIONS
7 FROM MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE? WE HAVE SEVERAL
8 SPEAKERS. THE FIRST PERSON IS KEVIN MILLER
9 REPRESENTING THE CITY OF NAPA. HE'S THE RECYCLING
10 COORDINATOR FOR NAPA.

11 MR. MILLER: THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY
12 TO SPEAK BEFORE YOU TODAY. I RISE BEFORE YOU
13 BECAUSE SOMETIMES I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU GET
14 PERSPECTIVE FROM THE LOCAL RECYCLING COORDINATORS
15 WHO ARE TRYING TO IMPLEMENT 939.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: UNLESS THEY'RE
17 THREATENED WITH LOSING THEIR JOBS.

18 MR. MILLER: UNLESS THEY'RE THREATENED
19 WITH LOSING THEIR JOBS.

20 I'LL SPEAK TO YOU AS A PROFESSIONAL
21 WHO'S WORKED BOTH WITH THE CITY OF FOLSOM AND THE
22 PRIVATE SECTOR AT WEYERHAEUSER AND NOW FOR THE CITY
23 OF NAPA. WHEN I WAS AT FOLSOM, I WAS VERY EXCITED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 ABOUT THE SHIFT FROM DISPOSAL BASED -- EXCUSE ME --
25 FROM DIVERSION BASED REPORTING TO DISPOSAL BASED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 BECAUSE I DIDN'T PERSONALLY WANT TO GET CAUGHT UP
2 IN THE BEAN COUNTING THAT GOES ON WITH DIVERSION
3 BASE OF TRYING TO WRESTLE AND TRACK EVERY POUND OF
4 RECYCLABLES THAT GOES AWAY FROM A LANDFILL. SO I
5 WAS VERY EXCITED ABOUT THAT.

6 UNFORTUNATELY, I'VE ALMOST HAD TO
7 COME AROUND TO MISS THE DIVERSION BASE. AND THE
8 REASON FOR THAT IS I'VE JUST SEEN SOME -- I'VE
9 FIRSTHAND EXPERIENCED SOME WIDE DISPARITIES, AND
10 I'LL TELL YOU WITH FOLSOM IT WORKED TO MY
11 ADVANTAGE. WE HAD A MIXED WASTE PROCESSING
12 FACILITY USING THE INMATE LABOR TO GO THROUGH THE
13 WASTESTREAM. SO WE HAD PRETTY ACCURATE NUMBERS.
14 AND WE WERE THE ONLY HAULER FOR BOTH COMMERCIAL AND
15 RESIDENTIAL, SO WE BASICALLY HANDLED ALMOST A
16 HUNDRED PERCENT OF THE WASTESTREAM, AND WE KNEW
17 THAT OUR TRUE RECYCLING RATE WAS RIGHT AT ABOUT 42
18 PERCENT, WHICH WAS GREAT. I WAS VERY EXCITED ABOUT
19 THAT.

20 WHEN WE WENT TO THE DISPOSAL BASED
21 REPORTING, WE BENEFITED FROM SOME FANTASTIC
22 ECONOMIC GROWTH THAT WAS GOING ON IN OUR CITY, AND
23 WE CAME UP WITH A 53-PERCENT NUMBER. WE WERE HAPPY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WITH THAT, BUT IT DID POINT THAT THERE WERE SOME
25 DISPARITIES.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 NOW I'M ON THE OTHER END WITH THE
2 CITY OF NAPA, THAT WE JUST SUBMITTED OUR ANNUAL
3 REPORT. AND WE WERE PLEASED HOW IT FINALLY CAME
4 OUT, BUT I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO RELATE TO YOU
5 WHEN WE DID A DISPOSAL BASE USING THE FORMULA AND
6 THE METHOD THAT'S BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD, WE
7 CAME AT 15.7 PERCENT, WHICH WE WERE -- KNEW WAS
8 WRONG BECAUSE WE WERE AT 27 PERCENT LAST YEAR.
9 FORTUNATELY, WE HAVE A FRANCHISE HAULER AND WE HAD
10 VERY ACCURATE RECORDS OF EVERY KIND OF MATERIAL WE
11 RECYCLED, SO WE WENT BACK TO THE OLD WAY OF DOING
12 IT DIVERSION BASED. WE CAME OUT AT 30.7 PERCENT,
13 WHICH IS A LOT MORE REFLECTIVE OF REALITY IN OUR
14 ESTIMATE TOO.
15 YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT REALLY THAT MUCH
16 ABOVE WHERE WE THINK WE ARE AND IT'S NOT THAT MUCH
17 BELOW, BUT THE DISPARITY REALLY TROUBLES US. AND I
18 GUESS I WOULD WANT -- AND I DON'T HAVE ANY MAGIC
19 WAND BECAUSE IT'S A VERY DIFFICULT THING TO COME UP
20 WITH THAT MYTHICAL GENERATION NUMBER, TRYING TO
21 ACCOUNT FOR POPULATION AND ECONOMIC GROWTH FACTORS.
22 BUT THAT'S WHAT YOU ARE COMPARING YOURSELF AGAINST.
23 ONE THING I SEE IS CONSISTENT BETWEEN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THE TWO EXAMPLES IS THAT THERE MAY BE AN OVER-
25 ESTIMATE ON WHAT'S PRODUCED ON THE RESIDENTIAL END

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AND AN UNDERESTIMATE OF WHAT'S PRODUCED ON THE
2 COMMERCIAL END. AND I THINK IT MAY BE INCUMBENT ON
3 THE BOARD AND THE PEOPLE WHO ARE PUTTING THAT
4 FORMULA TOGETHER TO REEXAMINE THAT BECAUSE IT'S
5 GOING TO OBVIOUSLY HAVE DRAMATIC EFFECTS.

6 THE OTHER THING I CAME TO KNOW
7 FIRSTHAND IS HOW IMPORTANT THE RECORDKEEPING IS AT
8 THE TRANSFER STATIONS OR AT THE LANDFILL NOW.
9 BECAUSE WE ARE DISPOSAL BASED, IT'S ALL IMPORTANT
10 WHAT RECORDS ARE KEPT THERE AND HOW THEY GO ABOUT
11 GETTING THOSE. AND WE HAD A LITTLE EXAMPLE IN OUR
12 LOCAL TRANSFER STATION WHERE YOU FIND TWO THINGS.
13 ONE IS WHEN PEOPLE TRY TO DUMP MATERIAL AT A
14 LANDFILL, IF YOU ASK THEM WHERE YOU ARE FROM, THEIR
15 FIRST INSTINCT IS TO SAY THE JURISDICTION OR THE
16 AREA WHERE THE DUMP IS BECAUSE THEY'RE WORRIED
17 YOU'RE GOING TO KICK THEM OUT. THEY'RE NOT SURE
18 WHY YOU'RE ASKING, SO THEY THINK I'LL SAY I'M FROM
19 WHEREVER, SO I CAN GET IN.

20 THE OTHER THING IS IF YOU DON'T HAVE
21 IT BY ZIP CODE OR SOME OTHER WAYS; FOR INSTANCE,
22 OUR TRANSFER STATION, WE'D ASK THEM WHERE ARE YOU
23 FROM? THEY'D SAY NAPA. THERE WAS NO DISTINCTION

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BETWEEN UP VALLEY AND NAPA COUNTY OR NAPA CITY.

25 AND THEN WE'D ASK THE CITY OF NAPA? NAPA. AND WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WERE JUST REALLY SUSPECT AS TO -- IT WAS A BIG
2 DIFFERENCE FOR US. IT WAS ABOUT 4 OR 5 PERCENT OF
3 THE WASTESTREAM DIFFERENCE, DEPENDING ON WHAT THAT
4 SELF-HAUL, WHERE IT WAS ATTRIBUTED TO. SO I POINT
5 THOSE OUT AS A COUPLE AREAS THAT MAYBE SHOULD BE
6 KEPT IN CONSIDERATION.

7 THE OTHER THING I WAS GRATIFIED TO
8 SEE, THAT YOU ARE SHOWING SOME FLEXIBILITY WITH
9 SISKIYOU BECAUSE I THINK YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO
10 DO THAT AS 2000 APPROACHES. AND I THINK THE OTHER
11 OPPORTUNITY -- I DID GET A VERY INFORMATIVE
12 PAMPHLET FROM THE WASTE BOARD TALKING ABOUT
13 CREATIVE WAYS TO GET TO 50 PERCENT. ONE IDEA I
14 THINK MOST RECYCLING COORDINATORS AGREE THAT THE
15 REAL NEXT STEP IS DEVELOPING THE MARKETS, SO
16 THERE'S A PLACE FOR ALL THESE THINGS TO GO.

17 ONE IDEA THAT YOU MIGHT BE ABLE TO
18 DO, WHICH IS A CRAZY ONE, BUT IF YOU GAVE LOCAL
19 JURISDICTIONS CREDIT TON FOR TON FOR EVERY TON THAT
20 THEY BOUGHT OF RECYCLED PRODUCTS, YOU MIGHT REALLY
21 ENCOURAGE THAT AND HAVE THAT COUNT AGAINST THEIR
22 DIVERSION GOALS. I HOPE THAT MAKES SENSE TO YOU.
23 IF YOU BOUGHT, YOU KNOW, 50 TONS OF RECYCLED PAPER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 A MONTH, MAYBE THAT COULD BE COUNTED TOWARDS YOUR
25 RECYCLING GOALS, AND THAT MIGHT REALLY SPUR THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MARKETS AND BENEFIT IN A COUPLE WAYS.

2 THE OTHER CREATIVE, KIND OF ALONG THE
3 SAME LINES, BUT I KNOW THE EPA ON POLLUTION
4 CREDITS. ONE THING WE KNOW ABOUT 939 IS IT'S FAIR
5 AND ALL THE GOALS ARE CONSISTENT FOR EVERYBODY, BUT
6 IT'S UNFAIR IN THAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE
7 WASTESTREAM IS DIFFERENT FOR EVERY JURISDICTION.

8 UP VALLEY IN NAPA COUNTY, BECAUSE
9 THEY'RE GROWING WINE, THEY'RE AT A 60-PERCENT RATE
10 AND THEY HAVEN'T DONE THAT MUCH, BUT THE PUMICE
11 RECYCLING THAT'S HAPPENING IS TERRIFIC, HAS ALWAYS
12 HAPPENED, AND THEY HAVEN'T REALLY HAD TO IMPLEMENT
13 THE PROGRAMS. IF YOU WERE IN L.A., TO TRY TO GET
14 TO 60 PERCENT WOULD BE A DAUNTING EFFORT, TO SAY
15 THE LEAST. SO MAYBE ONE WAY OF APPROACHING IT TOO
16 WOULD BE TO HAVE ONE JURISDICTION HAVE THE ABILITY,
17 IF THEY EXCEED THE GOALS, TO SELL SOME OF THOSE AND
18 MAKE A CREDIT KIND OF PROGRAM TO OTHER JURISDIC-
19 TIONS.

20 THOSE ARE JUST CREATIVE THOUGHTS, BUT
21 I THINK AS 2000 APPROACHES, YOU MAY NEED TO KEEP
22 SOME OF THOSE THINGS IN MIND AND COME UP WITH THEM.

23 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THAT IS VERY MUCH

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 APPRECIATED. THE FIRST POINT, WHICH IS MOST
25 CLOSELY RELATED TO WHAT WE'RE DISCUSSING WITH THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ITEM, COULD STAFF ADDRESS HOW WE RESPOND IN THE
2 SITUATION WHERE THERE'S DISCREPANCY IN NUMBERS LIKE
3 THAT FROM THE TWO DIFFERENT SOURCES AND HOW WE
4 WOULD RESOLVE IT IF IT APPEARS THAT THE ADJUSTMENT
5 METHOD HAS SOMEHOW SKEWED THE NUMBERS IN A
6 PARTICULAR CASE?

7 MR. WEISS: ONE OF THE OPTIONS THAT WAS
8 GIVEN TO JURISDICTIONS THROUGH THE MEASUREMENT
9 ACCURACY WORKING GROUP, WHICH WAS APPROVED BY THE
10 COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD, WAS A LIST OF WAYS TO LOOK
11 AT THEIR REPORTING INFORMATION, SOME OPTIONS
12 LOOKING AT BASE-YEAR INFORMATION ALSO. ONE OF
13 THOSE WAS TO DO AS MR. MILLER SUGGESTED, A
14 GENERATION BASED ANALYSIS. AND IN THE '95 REPORTS
15 WE FOUND ABOUT 10 PERCENT OF THEM THAT DID DO
16 REPORTING REVISIONS DID THAT. THAT'S CERTAINLY AN
17 OPTION.

18 WE'RE LOOKING ON DEVELOPING SOME
19 GUIDANCE BECAUSE WE REALIZE THAT THERE ARE SOME
20 ISSUES. WITH THE WAY GOAL MEASUREMENT IS NOW,
21 THERE'S SEVERAL COMPONENTS. AND IF THERE'S SOME
22 UNUSUAL ECONOMIC ACTIVITY, THAT MAY SKEW THE
23 NUMBERS. SO THERE ARE SOME OTHER OPTIONS OUT THERE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 AVAILABLE.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YOU CAN IMAGINE THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DIFFICULTY WE FACED. IF WE DIDN'T HAVE ANY
2 ADJUSTMENT METHOD, IT WOULD BE UNFAIR. AND SO
3 TRYING TO COME UP WITH ONE FORMULA THAT WOULD WORK
4 IN ALL CASES WAS IMPOSSIBLE. WE CAME UP WITH THE
5 BEST ONE THAT WAS TESTED IN AS BROAD A RANGE OF
6 INSTANCES AS POSSIBLE AND THEN TRIED TO BUILD IN
7 SOME -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RIGHT TERM HERE IS --
8 SOME ALTERNATIVES IF IN A PARTICULAR CASE IT DIDN'T
9 SEEM TO BE WORKING.

10 AND, YOU KNOW, THE ALTERNATIVE --
11 AGAIN, THE ALTERNATIVE OF NOT HAVING DONE IT, WE'D
12 HAVE A HUNDRED PEOPLE STANDING THERE INSTEAD OF
13 JUST YOU POINTING OUT THE DISCREPANCIES WITH THE
14 WAY THE PROCESS WORKED. THEY'D BE SAYING, WELL,
15 IT'S UNFAIR BECAUSE WE HAD AN EARTHQUAKE OR IT'S
16 UNFAIR BECAUSE THIS HAPPENED OR, YOU KNOW,
17 SOMETHING ELSE.

18 SO WE HAD TO TRY, WITHOUT MAKING IT
19 TOO COMPLICATED, COME UP WITH THE KEY FACTORS AND
20 TRY TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO FACTOR THOSE IN. IT'S NOT
21 PERFECT. IT'S FAR FROM PERFECT, BUT WE'LL CONTINUE
22 TO TRY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE ENOUGH FLEXIBLE
23 OPTIONS THAT IF SOMEBODY COMES FORWARD WITH A GOOD

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CASE, WE'RE NOT GOING TO SAY, SORRY. ONE SIZE FITS

25 ALL. THAT'S ONE APPROACH THIS BOARD HAS TRIED NOT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 TO TAKE. THANKS A LOT FOR YOUR INPUT, ALL OF IT.
2 IT'S REALLY HELPFUL.

3 MEMBER GOTCH: MAY I ALSO ASK STAFF TO
4 RESPOND TOO. MR. MILLER HAD SAID THAT HE THOUGHT
5 THAT WE MAY HAVE, I THINK YOU SAID, OVERESTIMATED
6 RESIDENTIAL AND UNDERESTIMATED COMMERCIAL
7 PERCENTAGES. WOULD YOU LIKE TO RESPOND TO THAT?

8 MR. WEISS: ACTUALLY THAT'S THE FIRST THAT
9 I'VE HEARD OF THAT SPECIFIC CONCERN, SO I HAVEN'T
10 INVESTIGATED IT PERSONALLY. BUT I KNOW WE HAVE
11 SPENT A GREAT DEAL OF TIME LOOKING AT THE
12 ADJUSTMENT METHOD, AS MR. CHESBRO SAID. I THINK IF
13 THAT'S THE CASE FOR MR. MILLER, IN HIS ANNUAL
14 REPORT THEN HE CAN CERTAINLY ADDRESS THAT. THERE
15 IS THAT LEEWAY IN CHOOSING THE FACTORS. THERE'S
16 LEEWAY IN LOOKING AT FACTORS THAT MAY BE SPECIFIC
17 FOR YOUR JURISDICTION OR THAT ARE MORE COUNTY LEVEL
18 FACTORS. AND SO THERE IS ENOUGH LEEWAY, WITHIN, I
19 THINK, THE METHOD ITSELF TO WORK AROUND THOSE
20 PROBLEMS AND WITH THE OTHER OPTIONS FOR REPORTING
21 YEAR DISPOSAL, BUT WE'D BE HAPPY TO WORK WITH ANY
22 CITY THAT HAD CONCERNS.

23 MEMBER GOTCH: THANKS. I'M ALSO GLAD TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HEAR YOU HADN'T HEARD THAT.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE ALSO HAVE EVAN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 EDGAR.

2 MR. EDGAR: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN AND
3 BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS EVAN EDGAR REPRESENT-
4 ING -- ON BEHALF OF THE CALIFORNIA REFUSE REMOVAL
5 COUNCIL. UNDERSTAND WHY THIS ISSUE IS IN FRONT OF
6 THE WASTE BOARD TODAY. IT NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED SO
7 THAT THE ANNUAL REPORTS CAN GET IN FOR DISPOSAL
8 REPORTING, SO WE ARE DEFINITELY IN CONCEPTUAL
9 SUPPORT IN ORDER TO GET THIS CLEAR INFORMATION TO
10 THE WASTE BOARD'S STAFF.

11 MY ONLY CONCERN TODAY IS ABOUT THE
12 ORPHAN WASTE, WHOLE ORPHAN WASTE ISSUE. AS YOU
13 KNOW, IN 1990 THE L.A. REGION HAD FIVE MILLION TONS
14 OF ORPHAN WASTE, AND THEY'RE STILL TRYING TO DECIDE
15 HOW TO DIVIDE THAT UP.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: JUST GOING TO HAVE TO
17 TEACH THOSE ORPHANS TO STOP PRODUCING SO MUCH
18 WASTE.

19 MR. EDGAR: AND TODAY WASTE IS BEING
20 EXPORTED TO MANY EXPORT LANDFILLS.

21 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IF I CAN LAUGH AT YOUR
22 JOKES, YOU CAN LAUGH AT MINE. IT WAS BAD, I KNOW.

23 MR. EDGAR: YOU STOLE MY JOKE. I WAS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 GOING TO USE THAT.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: NO WONDER YOU DIDN'T

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 LAUGH.

2 MR. EDGAR: BUT TODAY WASTE IS BEING
3 EXPORTED TO MANY EXPORT LANDFILLS IN LOCKWOOD,
4 NEVADA; LA PAZ, ARIZONA; OR BANCO IN WASHINGTON;
5 AND ECDC IN UTAH, AND THESE LANDFILLS WOULD LOVE TO
6 BE ORPHANAGES TO ALL THE CALIFORNIA WASTESTREAM,
7 AND THEY'RE TRYING HARD.

8 AND SHOULD EXPORTING COMMUNITIES
9 EXPORT AND THEY CAN'T RECONCILE THE WASTE, THEN
10 THERE IS NO BOE FORM FOR THE EXPORT LANDFILLS. AND
11 SHOULD THESE LANDFILLS THAT DO TAKE THIS WASTE AND
12 IT'S UNASSIGNED AND THINK IT'S ORPHAN WASTE, THEY
13 DON'T CARE.

14 SO A LOT OF CITIES COULD EASILY MEET
15 THEIR DISPOSAL REDUCTION BY NOT ASSIGNING IT
16 CORRECTLY AND BECOME ORPHAN WASTE, AND THESE EXPORT
17 LANDFILLS WOULD LOVE TO GET ALL THEY CAN GET IN
18 THAT MANNER. SO WE'RE VERY CONCERNED HOW THE
19 ORPHAN WASTE ISSUE WOULD BE USED FOR EXPORT
20 COMMUNITIES. AND I THINK EXPORT COMMUNITIES WILL
21 BE GROWING MORE AND MORE AS WE LOOK AT WHAT'S GOING
22 ON IN THE CITY OF SACRAMENTO WITH THEIR VENTURE TO
23 GO TO LOCKWOOD, NEVADA, FOR ALL THEIR TONNAGES.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SO THERE'S A LOT OF KEY ISSUES HOW
25 THAT ORPHAN WASTE FOR EXPORT LANDFILLS WILL BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ASSIGNED. PLUS, WITHIN CALIFORNIA THERE'S MANY
2 MORE REGIONAL LANDFILLS COMING ON LINE, AND THEY
3 WOULD HAVE THE SAME TYPE OF DILEMMA THAT THESE
4 EXPORT LANDFILLS HAVE, BUT AT LEAST AT THOSE
5 LOCATIONS YOU DO HAVE THE BOE NUMBERS TO RECONCILE
6 IN ORDER TO MAKE THAT ISSUE UP.

7 I HAVE NO SOLUTIONS TODAY. I JUST
8 KNOW THAT THAT ASPECT IS GOING TO BE ONE ISSUE THAT
9 WE SHOULD LOOK INTO A LITTLE FURTHER. MAYBE YOU
10 CAN APPROVE ALL THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS AND
11 HOLDING THE ORPHAN WASTE ISSUE OVER TILL THERE'S
12 SOME TYPE OF RESOLVE TO THAT.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: THERE ONCE WAS A
14 PROPOSAL TO DO ALL OF THIS AT THE TRANSFER
15 STATIONS, YOU MAY RECALL, WHICH, WHILE IT HAD
16 PERHAPS DOWNSIDES, ALSO WOULD HAVE BEEN ONE WAY OF
17 ADDRESSING THIS PROBLEM.

18 MR. WEISS: WITH REGARDS TO EXPORT, THE
19 ITEM DISCUSSES LANDFILLS THAT REPORT TO BOARD OF
20 EQUALIZATION. LANDFILLS OUT OF STATE DON'T REPORT
21 TO BOARD OF EQUALIZATION, SO THAT WOULDN'T FACTOR
22 INTO THIS AT ALL. THE AMOUNTS EXPORTED OUT OF
23 STATE ARE REQUIRED TO BE REPORTED TO US THROUGH THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COUNTY BY THE HAULER OR THE TRANSFER STATION. SO
25 WE SHOULD GET THOSE NUMBERS, AND THEY SHOULD BE THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AMOUNTS FROM THE SURVEY OR A MEASUREMENT, AND THERE
2 SHOULDN'T BE A REAL EXPORT ISSUE -- EXCUSE ME --
3 ORPHAN ISSUE INVOLVED IN EXPORT.

4 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE CERTAINLY SHOULD
5 MONITOR IT, I MEAN, AND BE AWARE SO THAT IF THE
6 PROBLEMS DO BEGIN TO DEVELOP, WE'RE AWARE OF THEM.

7 OKAY. I ASSUME THAT THERE'S NO ONE
8 ELSE HERE THAT WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS THIS SINCE WE
9 DID GET TWO SPEAKER FORMS, BUT ANYBODY ELSE? IF
10 NOT, WHAT'S THE PLEASURE OF THE COMMITTEE WITH
11 REGARDS TO THE RECOMMENDED IMPROVEMENTS TO THE
12 DISPOSAL REPORTING PROCESS?

13 MEMBER FRAZEE: I MOVE ADOPTION OF
14 RESOLUTION 97-495.

15 MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND.

16 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WOULD SAY THAT ONE OF
17 THE BENEFITS THAT I SEE TO STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION
18 HERE IS TO TRY TO CREATE SOME CERTAINTY ON AN
19 ANNUAL BASIS INSTEAD OF HAVING IT BE A WIDE-OPEN
20 PROCESS IN TERMS OF WHENEVER THESE THINGS CAN COME
21 UP, IT SORT OF NARROWS THE WINDOW A LITTLE BIT SO
22 THAT, YOU KNOW, YOU CAN GET SOME AGREEMENT, OKAY,
23 THAT FOR AT LEAST FOR A SNAPSHOT PERIOD OF TIME,

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 THIS IS WHAT WE'VE ACCEPTED AS THE FACTS AS BEST WE
25 COULD PUT THEM TOGETHER.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SO WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. WE
2 WILL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL, IF THERE'S NO
3 OBJECTIONS, AND MOTION CARRIES. THANKS. WE'LL PUT
4 THAT ON CONSENT.

5 NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 34, WHICH IS
6 CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE USED OIL FILTER
7 PILOT COLLECTION PROGRAM REPORT.

8 MS. VAN KEKERIX: AND BOB BOUGHTON WITH
9 THE USED OIL BRANCH WILL MAKE THIS PRESENTATION FOR
10 YOU.

11 MR. BOUGHTON: THE FIRST THING I WANTED TO
12 BRING UP WAS THAT THE STAFF HAS COMPLETED THE
13 BIENNIAL USED OIL RECYCLING RATE REPORT. WE DO
14 THIS IN THE SPRING AND IN THE SUMMER, OR IN THE
15 FALL, RATHER. AND I BELIEVE THAT'S BEEN SENT TO
16 ALL BOARD MEMBERS' OFFICES THAT WE NEEDED TO. WE
17 WANTED TO MENTION THAT WAS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

18 BEFORE GETTING STARTED, I WANTED TO
19 GIVE A LITTLE BIT OF HISTORY ON WHY WE'RE HERE.
20 SEVERAL YEARS AGO BOARD STAFF WANTED TO TRY AND
21 LOOK MORE DEEPLY AT THE USED OIL FILTER COLLECTION
22 ISSUE. WE RECOGNIZE THAT NOT MANY FILTERS ARE
23 BEING COLLECTED, AND THERE WERE BARRIERS TO THEM

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BEING COLLECTED FROM THE PUBLIC.

25 AND WE MANAGED TO GET A CHANGE TO THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 USED OIL RECYCLING ACT TO APPROPRIATE SOME MONEY TO
2 DO A TWO-YEAR PILOT STUDY, AND WE INITIATED THAT IN
3 THE SPRING OF '95 AND JUST COMPLETED IT THIS
4 SUMMER. AND THE STATUTE REQUIRED THE BOARD TO
5 REPORT ON THE PILOT BY NOVEMBER 1ST.

6 SO THE ITEM HAS THE REPORT IN IT.
7 AND TO GIVE YOU SOME IDEA OF WHY I BROUGHT THE
8 FILTER, THERE'S APPROXIMATELY 17 MILLION FILTERS
9 ROUGHLY THAT SIZE THAT ARE DISPOSED OF BY THE
10 PUBLIC EACH YEAR. AND THAT REPRESENTS ABOUT 7,000
11 TONS OF STEEL, WHICH IS A GOOD HIGH QUALITY GRADE
12 STEEL, AS WELL AS MORE THAN A MILLION GALLONS OF
13 USED OIL THAT REMAINS IN THE FILTERS. SO IT'S A
14 LARGE RESOURCE ISSUE.

15 FROM THE FINDINGS OF THE PILOT, WHAT
16 WE FOUND WAS THAT THERE'S FEW OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE
17 PUBLIC TO RECYCLE. THE COST OF HAULING IS THE
18 PRINCIPAL BARRIER. BUSINESS WOULD BE OUT OF POCKET
19 ABOUT 28 CENTS PER FILTER, SO THERE'S A BIG
20 RESISTANCE MONETARILY THERE OUT OF POCKET FOR A
21 BUSINESS TO TAKE FILTERS FROM THE PUBLIC.

22 THERE ARE QUITE A FEW LOCAL
23 GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE CONDUCTING HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WASTE COLLECTION PROGRAMS, WHETHER IT'S PERMANENT
25 FACILITIES, THE ABOP'S AS THEY'RE CALLED, OR

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AMNESTY DAYS, COLLECTION DAYS, BUT THEY'RE
2 TYPICALLY INFREQUENT OR NOT VERY CONVENIENT FOR ALL
3 OF THE PUBLIC. SO WE RECOGNIZE THAT THERE NEEDS TO
4 BE SOMETHING ELSE ESTABLISHED THAT'S MORE
5 CONVENIENT FOR THE PUBLIC SIMILAR TO WHAT THE USED
6 OIL PROGRAM HAS SUCCEEDED IN DEVELOPING.

7 THE PUBLIC IS VERY RELUCTANT TO PAY
8 BUSINESSES FOR RECEIVING FILTERS. WE DID A SURVEY
9 AND FOUND OUT THAT SOME OF THE BUSINESSES WERE
10 CHARGING 50 CENTS TO OVER A DOLLAR FOR THE PUBLIC.
11 AND OBVIOUSLY PEOPLE ARE RELUCTANT -- YOU HAVE TO
12 BE A VERY STRONG ADVOCATE OF RECYCLING TO PAY FOR
13 YOUR MATERIALS TO BE TAKEN.

14 THE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WERE ALSO
15 RESISTANT TO ADDRESSING USED OIL FILTER COLLECTION
16 IN A BIG WAY, ESPECIALLY IF IT WAS AT THE EXPENSE
17 OF THEIR USED OIL FUNDING, THEIR BLOCK GRANT
18 FUNDING.

19 THE PILOT ALLOWED THE BOARD TO STUDY
20 THESE BARRIERS TO IDENTIFY THE BARRIERS AND THEN
21 ALSO STUDY SOME TECHNIQUES AND METHODS TO OVERCOME
22 THE BARRIERS. AND WHAT OUR CONCLUSION KIND OF
23 DROVE HOME TWO POINTS. ONE IS THAT THERE IS A NEED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 FOR A STATEWIDE OVERARCHING PROGRAM, AND THE MOST
25 EFFICIENT ONE IS TO PARALLEL THAT OF USED OIL. ONE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WOULD BE TO -- WELL, TO SUPPORT LOCAL EFFORTS VERY
2 SIMILAR TO WHAT THE USED OIL PROGRAM DOES. THAT
3 APPEARS TO BE THE MOST EFFICIENT WAY.

4 AND TO DO THAT, WE'RE RECOMMENDING
5 THAT AN ADMINISTRATIVE SOLUTION BE EMPLOYED, ONE
6 THAT THE BOARD COULD ALLOCATE SOME OPPORTUNITY
7 GRANT FUNDS, WHICH ARE LOCAL ASSISTANCE MONIES, TO
8 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WITH GRANT -- AS GRANTS TO
9 ADDRESS THE USED OIL FILTER COLLECTION
10 OPPORTUNITIES AND SOME OUTREACH. AND THEN ALSO
11 PURSUE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SEVERAL POSITIONS AT
12 THE BOARD TO ADMINISTER THIS EFFORT.

13 JUST TO LET YOU KNOW WHERE WE ARE
14 WITH THIS, THERE IS A BCP AT DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
15 THAT'S BEING REVIEWED RIGHT NOW TO ESTABLISH
16 POSITIONS TO DO THIS PROGRAM, AND WE SHOULD HEAR IN
17 THE NEXT SEVERAL WEEKS WHETHER THAT'S APPROVED.

18 THIS IS A DRAFT REPORT, AND IT IS IN
19 THE EDITING PROCESS RIGHT NOW IN PUBLIC AFFAIRS
20 OFFICE, SO THERE MAY BE SOME CHANGES AS A RESULT OF
21 COMMENTS.

22 AND WHERE WE ARE IS HOPING TO HAVE A
23 FINAL BOUND REPORT BY ABOUT NOVEMBER 15TH. SO THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BOARD IS REQUIRED TO HAVE REPORTED ON NOVEMBER 1ST,
25 AND I THINK BY APPROVING THE REPORT WE'LL HAVE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 FULFILLED THAT REQUIREMENT.

2 ONE THING I DID WANT TO DO IS TO
3 RECOGNIZE CARLA REPUCCI, WHO IS THE STAFF MEMBER
4 THAT OPERATED THE PILOT PROGRAM. AND SHE'S ILL AND
5 COULDN'T MAKE IT TODAY, SO TRYING TO FILL INTO HER
6 SHOES. SHE REALLY PUT HER HEART AND SOUL INTO
7 THIS. AND IT TURNED OUT TO BE A REAL POSITIVE
8 EXPERIENCE, I THINK, EVEN THOUGH THERE WERE A LOT
9 OF HURDLES AND BARRIERS THAT WERE FOUND ALONG THE
10 WAY.

11 SO THE REQUEST IS FOR THE BOARD OR
12 THE COMMITTEE TO ADOPT OR TO APPROVE THE USED OIL
13 FILTER PILOT COLLECTION PROGRAM REPORT AND ADOPT
14 BOARD RESOLUTION 97-477.

15 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: OKAY. I WOULD SAY THAT
16 IT'S BEEN A VERY SUCCESSFUL PILOT PROJECT, AND WE
17 SORT OF HAVE THE INFORMATION WE NEED TO PROCEED
18 WITH THE PROGRAM. SO IT WAS WELL EXECUTED.

19 ANY OTHER THOUGHTS FROM THE COMMITTEE
20 MEMBERS?

21 MEMBER GOTCH: I AGREE WITH YOU. READY
22 FOR A MOTION? I'LL MOVE ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION
23 97-477.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MEMBER FRAZEE: SECOND.

25 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SECONDED. WE'LL SUBSTITUTE THE PRIOR ROLL CALL.
2 MOTION CARRIES. AND I GUESS WE CAN PLACE THIS ON
3 CONSENT FOR TOMORROW. THANKS VERY MUCH. GOOD
4 WORK.

5 AND THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 35. DID
6 YOU GET A CHANCE TO GET THE COMPUTER RECONFIGURED?
7 THAT'S UPDATE ON STAFF'S REPORT ON DEVELOPING
8 QUANTITATIVE MEASUREMENT ASSISTANCE TOOLS FOR USE
9 BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

10 MS. VAN KEKERIX: NANCY CARR, CHRIS
11 SCHMIDLE, AND BENDEN BLUE WITH THE WASTE
12 CHARACTERIZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH WILL BE MAKING
13 THIS PRESENTATION.

14 THIS IS A PRESENTATION ABOUT SOME OF
15 THE TOOLS THAT BOARD STAFF HAVE BEEN DEVELOPING.
16 YOU WILL REMEMBER BACK AT THE 50-PERCENT
17 INITIATIVE, MANY, MANY JURISDICTIONS CAME BEFORE
18 THE BOARD AND THEY ASKED FOR ADDITIONAL TOOLS TO
19 HELP THEM WITH MEASUREMENT ISSUES. AND ALSO THE
20 STRATEGIC PLAN CONTAINS A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF IT
21 THAT TALKS ABOUT DEVELOPMENT OF TOOLS FOR JURISDIC-
22 TIONS.

23 THIS IS AN UPDATE OF THREE OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 MAJOR TOOLS THAT THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION AND
25 ANALYSIS BRANCH IS WORKING ON.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MR. SCHMIDLE: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN,
2 COMMITTEE MEMBERS. ONE OF THE WASTE CHARACTERI-
3 ZATION AND ANALYSIS BRANCH FUNCTIONS IS TO DEVELOP
4 QUANTITATIVE TOOLS TO HELP JURISDICTIONS IMPROVE
5 THEIR WASTE MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS. THREE PRINCIPAL
6 TOOLS ARE CURRENTLY UNDER DEVELOPMENT BY THE
7 BRANCH: A DIVERSION MEASUREMENT GUIDE, A WASTE
8 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE, AND THE AUTOMATED
9 DIVERSION PLANNING TOOL, ALSO KNOWN AS THE ADPT
10 DATABASE.

11 STAFF'S PRESENTATION THIS MORNING
12 CONSISTS OF A BRIEF DESCRIPTION AND DEVELOPMENT
13 PROGRESS UPDATE BY THE PROJECT MANAGER FOR EACH OF
14 THE NEW TOOLS. STAFF ARE HERE TO GIVE YOU AN
15 OPPORTUNITY TO ASK ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE ABOUT
16 THE PROJECT AND THE INTENDED PRODUCTS.

17 I'D LIKE TO START WITH A REPORT ON
18 THE DIVERSION MEASUREMENT GUIDE. MANY JURISDIC-
19 TIONS HAVE TOLD STAFF THAT THEY EXPERIENCE GREAT
20 DIFFICULTY AND COST WHILE PERFORMING THE WASTE
21 DIVERSION ESTIMATION PORTION OF THEIR 1990
22 BASE-YEAR SOLID WASTE GENERATION STUDIES, AND THAT
23 THE RESULTS OBTAINED FROM THE STUDIES OFTEN SEEM TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 UNDERCOUNT THE ACTUAL DIVERSION TONNAGE.

25 BECAUSE OF THIS AND OTHER TYPES OF

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DATA MEASUREMENT ERRORS, SOME JURISDICTIONS WOULD
2 LIKE TO ESTABLISH A NEW AND MORE ACCURATE BASE-YEAR
3 WASTE GENERATION TONNAGE NUMBER USING DATA FROM THE
4 BOARD'S DISPOSAL REPORTING SYSTEM AND THE RESULTS
5 OF A NEW DIVERSION STUDY. OTHER JURISDICTIONS FEEL
6 IT WOULD BE MORE ACCURATE FOR THEM TO CALCULATE
7 THEIR DIVERSION RATE BY TRACKING THEIR GENERATION
8 ON AN ANNUAL BASIS.

9 IN BOTH CASES JURISDICTIONS AND THE
10 WASTE HAULERS HAVE ASKED THE BOARD STAFF FOR
11 ASSISTANCE AND ADVICE ON BETTER WAYS TO ESTIMATE
12 THEIR DIVERSION TONNAGE. SHERRIE SALA-MOORE, WHO
13 RECENTLY LEFT THE BRANCH, HAS BEEN WORKING WITH DR.
14 EUGENE TSANG OF UCLA SINCE EARLY 1996 TO ANALYZE
15 THE PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED BY JURISDICTIONS AND THE
16 WASTE INDUSTRY IN THEIR BASE-YEAR GENERATION
17 STUDIES.

18 BUILDING IN PART ON SHERRIE'S
19 RESEARCH AND ON A NEW EXTENSIVE SURVEY OF CURRENT
20 WASTE INDUSTRY DIVERSION MEASUREMENT PRACTICES, DR.
21 TSANG AND I ARE NOW DEVELOPING A WASTE DIVERSION
22 MEASUREMENT GUIDE, WHICH WILL ADDRESS SOME OF THE
23 DATA PROBLEMS WHICH LOCAL JURISDICTIONS AND WASTE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 HAULERS HAVE IDENTIFIED.

25 THE GUIDE IS A TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 DOCUMENT AIMED PRIMARILY AT THE JURISDICTION
2 STAFF. IT WILL ASSIST THEM TO GENERALLY UNDERSTAND
3 WHAT DIVERTED MATERIALS CONSIST OF AND HOW TO FIND
4 AND QUANTIFY THE MATERIALS. IT WILL ALSO HELP THEM
5 EVALUATE THE QUALITY OF THEIR EXISTING DIVERSION
6 DATA, REPAIR OR SUPPLEMENT THE DATA, IF POSSIBLE,
7 AND DETERMINE WHETHER AN ENTIRELY NEW DIVERSION
8 MEASUREMENT STUDY IS NEEDED.

9 IF A NEW STUDY IS INDICATED, THE
10 GUIDE WILL HELP DEVELOP CURRENT TONNAGE DATA WHICH
11 WILL GIVE FULL CREDIT FOR ALL THE JURISDICTION'S
12 DIVERSION ACTIVITIES. SPECIFICALLY, THE GUIDE
13 ADDRESSES WHAT DR. TSANG BELIEVES TO BE THE THREE
14 MOST SIGNIFICANT DIVERSION QUANTIFICATION PROBLEMS:
15 IDENTIFYING MISSING SOURCES OF DIVERSION DATA,
16 DEVELOPING MORE ACCURATE ESTIMATES OF TONNAGE, AND
17 PROPERLY DOCUMENTING DIVERSION PROGRAM RESULTS.

18 THE GUIDE CONTAINS DIVERSION SURVEY
19 MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES, DATA COLLECTION STRATEGIES
20 TO MINIMIZE TIME AND LABOR COSTS, CONVERSION
21 FORMULAS AND RULES OF THUMB FOR MAKING TONNAGE
22 ESTIMATES, CASE STUDIES FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS,
23 REPRODUCIBLE MODEL FORMS FOR DATA COLLECTION, AND

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COPIES OF BOARD REGULATIONS ON DIVERSION.

25 ALTHOUGH THE PRIMARY FOCUS OF THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 GUIDE WILL BE TO DEVELOP MORE ACCURATE BASE-YEAR
2 DATA FOR CALCULATING DIVERSION RATES, THE
3 COLLECTION OF NEW DATA WILL HAVE ADDITIONAL
4 BENEFITS. DIVERSION AUDITS ARE A MAJOR TOOL FOR
5 EDUCATING BUSINESSES ABOUT THE ABILITY OF SOURCE
6 REDUCTION PROGRAMS TO SAVE MONEY. DIVERSION SURVEY
7 PLANNING WILL STIMULATE LOCAL STAFF TO REANALYZE
8 THEIR EXISTING PROGRAM RESULTS AND THINK OF NEW
9 WAYS TO INCREASE DIVERSION TONNAGE.

10 NEW DIVERSION DATA DERIVED FROM ONE
11 LOCAL SOURCE CAN OFTEN BE USED AS A MODEL TO
12 INFLUENCE OTHER SIMILAR BUSINESSES. DR. TSANG AND
13 I ARE CURRENTLY FINISHING THE FIRST DRAFT OF THE
14 GUIDE, WHICH WILL BE CIRCULATED FOR COMMENT INSIDE
15 THE BOARD AND THEN TO A REVIEW GROUP OF
16 JURISDICTION AND INDUSTRY REPRESENTATIVES. THE
17 FINAL PUBLIC VERSION WILL BE AVAILABLE IN JANUARY
18 OF 1998 IN DISK FORMAT AND PLACED ON THE BOARD'S
19 PUBLIC ACCESS WEB SITE IN FEBRUARY.

20 THAT ENDS MY FORMAL PRESENTATION. DO
21 YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE PROJECT?

22 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ANY QUESTIONS? THIS
23 SEEMED LIKE ONE THAT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 BOARD INFORMED OF AS WELL, BOARD MEMBERS. AND SO
25 EVEN THOUGH IT'S NOT ON TOMORROW'S AGENDA, MAYBE WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 COULD HAVE A BRIEF PRESENTATION AT THE NOVEMBER
2 BOARD MEETING ON THIS BECAUSE I THINK IT'S AN
3 IMPORTANT COMPONENT IN OUR ONGOING EFFORTS TO BE
4 ASSISTANCE ORIENTED AND BE AWARE OF IT. I'LL
5 MENTION IT IN MY REPORT, COMMITTEE REPORT, TOMORROW
6 MORNING. SOUNDS GREAT. GOOD WORK.

7 ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I'VE HAD FOR A
8 LONG TIME HAS BEEN ACCESSIBILITY OF THESE VARIOUS
9 TOOLS AND HOW WE WERE GETTING THEM IN THE HANDS OF
10 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. AND I THINK THIS WORK YOU ARE
11 DOING RIGHT NOW HELPS TO ADDRESS THAT QUESTION.
12 THANKS.

13 MR. BOUGHTON: THANK YOU. I WOULD NOW
14 LIKE TO INTRODUCE MS. NANCY CARR, WHO WILL OUTLINE
15 HER PROGRESS IN DEVELOPING THE DISPOSAL CHARACTERI-
16 ZATION DATABASE.

17 MS. CARR: THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION
18 DATABASE WAS DEVELOPED AS PART OF THE UNIFORM WASTE
19 DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION METHOD WHICH JURISDIC-
20 TIONS WILL USE TO DEVELOP WASTESTREAM DATA.
21 STATUTE MANDATED THAT THE BOARD DEVELOP THE METHOD.

22 THE METHOD ALLOWS JURISDICTIONS TO
23 USE DEFAULT DATA FROM THIS DATABASE TO PREPARE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 WASTE CHARACTERIZATION STUDIES. THE COMMERCIAL
25 SECTOR DATABASE COMBINES TWO TYPES OF INFORMATION.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ONE, DATA ON THE TYPES AND AMOUNTS OF MATERIALS
2 TYPICALLY DISPOSED BY BUSINESSES; AND, TWO,
3 INFORMATION ON THE NUMBERS AND TYPES OF BUSINESSES
4 IN THE LOCAL JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE TYPES OF
5 BUSINESSES ARE GOING TO DETERMINE THE CHARACTER-
6 ISTICS OF THE WASTESTREAM.

7 STAFF IS WORKING TO ADD RESIDENTIAL
8 WASTESTREAM DATA TO THE DATABASE AND TO HAVE THE
9 ENTIRE DATABASE AVAILABLE ON THE BOARD'S WEB SITE
10 BY EARLY 1998. AT PRESENT THE DATABASE CAN PROVIDE
11 OVERVIEW INFORMATION ON THE COMMERCIAL SECTOR TO
12 USERS OF THE WEB SITE.

13 I'D LIKE TO SHOW YOU TWO THINGS
14 TODAY. FIRST I'LL SHOW YOU WHAT'S AVAILABLE RIGHT
15 NOW ON THE BOARD'S WEB SITE, AND THEN I'LL SHOW YOU
16 OUR IN-HOUSE VERSION OF THE DATABASE THAT'S IN
17 MICROSOFT ACCESS, WHICH INCLUDES MORE DETAILED
18 INFORMATION THAT WILL BE ADDED TO THE WEB SITE.

19 THIS IS THE FIRST DATABASE SCREEN
20 JURISDICTIONS WILL USE ON THE WEB SITE, AND THE
21 DATABASE CAN BE FOUND REALLY EASILY FROM THE
22 BOARD'S HOME PAGE JUST BY CLICKING ON THE DATABASES
23 LINK AND THEN FINDING IT FROM THERE.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 OKAY. THE FIRST STEP FOR A
25 JURISDICTION IS TO SELECT THE JURISDICTION THEY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 WANT TO ANALYZE. AND OVER ON THE LEFT IN THIS
2 LITTLE BOX, WE A HAVE A LISTING OF ALL
3 JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE. SO THEY CAN JUST
4 CHOOSE ONE FROM HERE. FOR MY EXAMPLE I'M GOING TO
5 CHOOSE OAKLAND. NOW, THERE ARE TWO WAYS TO LOOK AT
6 WASTE SECTOR OVERVIEW INFORMATION FOR THE CITY OF
7 OAKLAND. I CAN LOOK AT IT BY THE OVERALL DATA BY
8 BUSINESS GROUP OR OVERALL DATA BY MATERIAL TYPE.
9 BUT FIRST I'M GOING TO LOOK AT THE
10 OVERALL DATA BY BUSINESS GROUP. SO ONCE I SELECT
11 THOSE TWO THINGS, I JUST HIT THE SUBMIT BUTTON, AND
12 THE DATABASE WILL GIVE ME INFORMATION FOR THE CITY
13 OF OAKLAND.
14 SO THIS IS THE NEXT SCREEN,
15 COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM BY BUSINESS GROUP. AND IF I
16 SCROLL DOWN, I CAN SEE THE TABLE. AND THIS GIVES A
17 LISTING OF ALL THE BUSINESS GROUPINGS AND THE
18 NUMBER OF COMPANIES, NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, AN
19 ESTIMATE OF THE ANNUAL TONS DISPOSED, AND THE
20 PERCENT OF COMMERCIAL DISPOSAL FOR THE CITY OF
21 OAKLAND.
22 THE OTHER WAY TO LOOK AT THE DATA IS
23 THE COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM BY MATERIAL; SO IF I

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CLICK ON THIS LINK, THAT WILL TAKE ME TO ANOTHER
25 TABLE. SO THIS IS COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM BY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 MATERIAL TYPE FOR THE CITY OF OAKLAND, AND THIS
2 JUST LISTS THE MATERIAL TYPES AND AN ESTIMATE OF
3 THE TONNAGE OF THAT MATERIAL TYPE DISPOSED FOR THE
4 CITY OF OAKLAND AS CALCULATED BY THE DATABASE AND
5 THE PERCENT OF THE COMMERCIAL WASTESTREAM. SO YOU
6 CAN SEE RIGHT AWAY WHAT THE BIG MATERIAL TYPES ARE
7 THAT ARE BEING DISPOSED BY THE COMMERCIAL SECTOR.

8 OKAY. THOSE ARE THE TWO SCREENS THAT
9 ARE ON THE WEB SIDE RIGHT NOW. NOW I WANT TO SHOW
10 YOU TWO MORE DETAILED SCREENS THAT WE'RE GOING TO
11 BE ADDING TO THE WEB SITE THAT ARE IN OUR MICROSOFT
12 ACCESS APPLICATION.

13 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: SO THEY'RE CURRENTLY IN
14 THE MICROSOFT APPLICATION AND WILL BE ON THE WEB
15 SITE IN THE FUTURE. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

16 MS. CARR: WHAT I JUST SHOWED YOU IS ON
17 THE WEB SITE RIGHT NOW. THESE TWO MORE DETAILED
18 SCREENS WILL BE ADDED TO THE WEB SITE.

19 AND FROM THESE TWO SCREENS, ONCE YOU
20 IDENTIFY THE BUSINESS GROUPING YOU WANT TO LOOK AT,
21 YOU CAN LOOK AT THE DETAILED MATERIAL TYPE WASTE
22 COMPOSITION FOR EACH BUSINESS GROUPING. SO ALL THE
23 BUSINESS GROUPINGS ARE LISTED, AND I'M GOING TO

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SHOW YOU RESTAURANTS. SO YOU JUST SELECT THAT

25 BUSINESS GROUPING, AND THEN THE DATABASE CALCULATES

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THE COMPOSITION FOR THIS BUSINESS GROUPING.

2 AND THE NO. 1 MATERIAL TYPE DISPOSED,
3 AS YOU WOULD EXPECT FROM RESTAURANTS, IS FOOD
4 WASTE, WHICH IS ABOUT 44 PERCENT OF THE WASTESTREAM
5 FOR RESTAURANTS. THE TONNAGE AMOUNT THAT'S LISTED
6 IS CALCULATED FOR THE CITY OF OAKLAND BASED ON THE
7 NUMBER OF RESTAURANTS AND NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN
8 RESTAURANTS IN THE CITY OF OAKLAND.

9 THE OTHER DETAILED SCREEN I'M GOING
10 TO SHOW YOU HELPS IDENTIFY SOURCES OF MATERIALS.
11 AND FROM THIS SCREEN YOU CAN CHOOSE A MATERIAL TYPE
12 LIKE UNCOATED CORRUGATED CARDBOARD, AND THE
13 DATABASE ESTIMATES THE TONNAGE OF THAT MATERIAL
14 THAT'S DISPOSED BY ALL THE DIFFERENT BUSINESS
15 GROUPINGS, SO YOU CAN IDENTIFY THE MAIN SOURCES OF
16 MATERIAL TYPE FROM THIS SCREEN.

17 SO THESE TWO SCREENS I JUST SHOWED
18 YOU ARE THE MORE DETAILED INFORMATION ALONG WITH
19 THE RESIDENTIAL DATA THAT'S GOING TO BE ADDED TO
20 THE BOARD'S WEB SITE, AND WE HOPE TO HAVE THE WHOLE
21 THING UP AND RUNNING FOR USERS BY EARLY '98.

22 THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. ANY
23 QUESTIONS?

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, MY EARLIER
25 COMMENTS WERE A LITTLE PREMATURE. OBVIOUSLY THIS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 IS WHAT I WAS TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF THE STEPS
2 THAT WE'RE TAKING TO MAKE THIS STUFF -- THESE TOOLS
3 ACCESSIBLE, AND IT'S VERY EXCITING THAT WE'RE
4 MAKING THAT PROGRESS. IT'S TAKEN QUITE AWHILE; BUT
5 CONSIDERING THE USEFULNESS OF THE TOOL, IT'S A
6 GREAT ACHIEVEMENT. AND I HOPE THAT WHEN WE GET IT
7 BEFORE THE BOARD, WE'LL BE ABLE TO GRAPHICALLY
8 DEMONSTRATE IT AS YOU'VE DONE FOR US TODAY. ANY
9 OTHER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS?

10 HAVE WE GOTTEN FEEDBACK FROM LOCAL
11 GOVERNMENTS THAT ARE USING IT AT THIS POINT?

12 MS. CARR: YEAH, WE HAVE. THEY SEEM TO
13 LIKE IT.

14 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I WOULD THINK SO.

15 MS. CARR: THE NICE THING ABOUT HAVING IT
16 ON THE WEB IS THAT IT'S SO MUCH EASIER TO
17 DISTRIBUTE THAT WAY. ORIGINALLY WE THOUGHT WE'D BE
18 SENDING THE DATABASE OUT TO JURISDICTIONS TO USE ON
19 DISK. BEING ABLE TO PUT IT ON THE WEB PAGE IS
20 INSTANTLY ACCESSIBLE, INSTANTLY UPDATABLE, SO IT'S
21 REALLY NICE. AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH IMB TO
22 GET IT UP, AND THEY'VE REALLY BEEN VERY HELPFUL AND
23 DONE A REALLY GOOD JOB.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: ONCE YOU'VE GOT IT, IT
25 SEEMS LIKE IT'S MORE COST-EFFECTIVE TOO THAN

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 SENDING OUT MATERIALS.

2 MS. CARR: ABSOLUTELY. AND WE'VE HAD
3 PEOPLE FROM OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTRY LOOKING AT
4 IT AND ASKING ABOUT IT ALSO.

5 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I'VE BEEN GOING
6 TO WESTERN STATES LEGISLATIVE CONFERENCE MEETINGS
7 FOR SEVERAL YEARS TALKING IT UP, AND THERE WAS A
8 GREAT DEAL OF INTEREST. AND I, OF COURSE, GAVE
9 THEM YOUR NAME AND DR. TSANG'S NAME, AND SO I
10 ASSUME THEY HAVE BEEN HANGING AROUND WAITING TO SEE
11 WHAT WE COULD PRODUCE.

12 MS. BLUE: GOOD MORNING, COMMITTEE
13 MEMBERS. I'M BENDEN BLUE. THE ADPT IS A PLANNING
14 TOOL TO HELP LOCAL JURISDICTIONS MEET THEIR
15 DIVERSION GOALS BY ENABLING THEM TO TRY OUT
16 DIFFERENT DIVERSION PROGRAM SCENARIOS TO SEE WHICH
17 ONES BEST FIT THEIR COMMUNITIES. IT'S DIRECTLY
18 TIED TO THE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE, SO
19 I'LL EXPLAIN THE CONNECTION AND I'LL TELL YOU ABOUT
20 ADPT.

21 AS NANCY JUST SAID, THE DISPOSAL
22 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE ESTIMATES THE WASTE
23 DISPOSAL IN ANY CITY BY COMBINING GENERALIZED

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COMPOSITION DATA WITH THE ACTUAL NUMBER AND TYPE OF
25 BUSINESSES IN THAT JURISDICTION. THEN THE DISPOSAL

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE WILL SHOW THE BREAKDOWN
2 OF WHICH BUSINESS SECTORS PRODUCED THE MOST WASTE,
3 AND WITHIN EACH OF THOSE BUSINESS SECTORS HOW MUCH
4 OF EACH MATERIAL IS BEING DISPOSED, AND THEREFORE
5 IS POTENTIALLY AVAILABLE FOR DIVERSION ACTIVITIES.
6 ADPT COMES IN NEXT BY ALLOWING THE
7 USER TO SELECT FROM THE DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION
8 DATABASE WHICH BUSINESS SECTORS OR MATERIALS IN
9 THEIR COMMUNITY THEY MAY WISH TO FURTHER FOCUS
10 THEIR DIVERSION ACTIVITIES. THE PROGRAM GUIDES THE
11 USER THROUGH CHOOSING SPECIFIC CAPTURE RATES FOR
12 EACH MATERIAL AS WELL AS CALCULATING THE COST
13 SAVINGS FROM AVOIDED DISPOSAL COSTS RESULTING FROM
14 THE INCREASED PLAN DIVERSION.
15 FOR ESTIMATING THE CAPTURE RATES AND
16 AVOIDED COSTS, THE PROGRAM WILL PROVIDE THE USER
17 WITH CONSIDERATION FACTORS FOR THINGS SUCH AS WHAT
18 IS THE CONTRACT TYPE THERE OF HAULER AND
19 JURISDICTION? WHAT'S THE GEOGRAPHIC FEATURE OF THE
20 BUSINESS COMMUNITIES; IN OTHER WORDS, EXPANDING
21 COLLECTION BEING AN INEFFICIENT OR EFFICIENT
22 EXPANSION OF EXISTING RECYCLING PROGRAMS. AND
23 WHAT'S THE RANGE OF TIPPING FEES IN THAT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 JURISDICTION'S LOCAL AREA.

25 ESSENTIALLY WE'RE TRYING TO GET THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 USER TO FIGURE OUT WHAT'S A REALISTIC EXPECTATION
2 OF THE AMOUNT OF THE TONNAGE OF MATERIALS THAT
3 COULD ACTUALLY BE DIVERTED WITH ADDITIONAL
4 PROGRAMS .

5 SO THE USER CAN PERFORM SEVERAL
6 DIFFERENT OVERALL SCENARIOS WHERE THEY CAN VARY THE
7 BUSINESS SECTOR, THE CAPTURE RATES, THE MATERIAL
8 TYPE, AND THE COST SAVINGS SELECTED. ADDITIONALLY,
9 THEY CAN ALWAYS OVERWRITE ANY OF THIS DEFAULT DATA
10 PROVIDED BY DISPOSAL CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE IF
11 THEY WISH TO FINE-TUNE IT FOR THEIR JURISDICTION IF
12 THEY KNOW THEIR JURISDICTION BETTER.

13 THESE SCENARIOS CAN BE COMPARED TO
14 ONE ANOTHER, AND EACH INDIVIDUAL SCENARIO CAN BE
15 COMPARED AGAINST THE 50-PERCENT DIVERSION GOAL.

16 THE USER OF ADPT IS LIKELY TO BE
17 LOCAL PLANNERS, RECYCLING COORDINATORS, AND, OF
18 COURSE, BOARD STAFF WORKING TO ASSIST THEIR
19 JURISDICTIONS. HAULERS ALSO WILL PROBABLY BE
20 COMPARING WHAT ADPT SAYS IS POSSIBLE TO DIVERT
21 COMPARED TO WHAT THEIR EXPERIENCE INDICATES.

22 STAFF ARE CURRENTLY DEVELOPING
23 DIVERSION TONNAGE AND COST RANGE DATA, USER

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 FRIENDLY SCREEN DESIGN, AND LINKS TO THE DISPOSAL
25 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE. THE ADPT MODEL WILL BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AVAILABLE PENDING COMPLETION OF THE DISPOSAL
2 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE. WE EXPECT AN IN-HOUSE
3 VERSION OF MICROSOFT ACCESS, SIMILAR TO WHAT NANCY
4 HAS JUST SHOWN YOU, AND INTERNET ACCESS TO BE
5 COMPLETED IN WINTER.

6 SO THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.
7 ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS?

8 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: COMMENT OR QUESTIONS
9 FROM THE AUDIENCE?

10 MR. GRECO: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS JIM
11 GRECO. I'M AN INDEPENDENT SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
12 CONSULTANT DOING BUSINESS AS CALIFORNIA WASTE
13 ASSOCIATES. MY COMMENT AND QUESTION IS JUST ON
14 BEHALF OF MYSELF AND A NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS.

15 AND THAT IS MANY OF YOU ARE AWARE
16 THAT THE ANNUAL REPORT BEING COMPLETED BY
17 JURISDICTIONS REQUIRES A CALCULATION OF DISPOSAL
18 REDUCTION. AND TO DO THAT CALCULATION, YOU HAVE TO
19 USE ADJUSTMENT FACTORS FOR POPULATION, CPI, TAXABLE
20 SALES TRANSACTIONS, AND EMPLOYMENT FIGURES.

21 TIME AND EXPERIENCE ARE TREMENDOUS
22 TOOLS, AND MANY JURISDICTIONS ARE BECOMING MORE
23 FAMILIAR AND CONFIDENT WITH THE PROCESS. MANY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 JURISDICTIONS ARE LOOKING TO PROJECT WHAT WILL
25 THEIR NUMBERS BE IN '99, 2000, AND BEYOND. THE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 ADJUSTMENT FACTORS BRING YOU NUMBERS FOR POPULATION
2 AND CPI TO THE YEAR 2000. THE ADJUSTMENT FACTOR
3 PROJECTIONS FOR EMPLOYMENT AND TAXABLE SALES
4 TRANSACTIONS BY THOSE AGENCIES THAT DERIVE THEM
5 CURRENTLY IN THE DATABASE ONLY GIVE YOU THAT UP TO
6 '96 AND '97.

7 A TOOL THAT JURISDICTIONS CAN BENEFIT
8 BY, IF THERE WERE SOME WAY AS PEOPLE BEGIN NOW TO
9 LOOK TO THE FUTURE, WHAT EMPLOYMENT NUMBERS SHOULD
10 THEY USE FOR A COUNTY IN THE YEAR 2000. I THINK IT
11 WAS BACK IN APRIL I MADE SOME CALLS TO EDD AND DOF,
12 AND PEOPLE ARE NERVOUS ABOUT PROJECTING TOO FAR IN
13 THE FUTURE AND HOW THOSE NUMBERS ARE GOING TO BE
14 USED.

15 THIS WILL BE A VALUABLE CONSISTENT
16 TOOL IF SOMEHOW PROJECTING THOSE TWO ADJUSTMENT
17 FACTORS CAN BE TAKEN TO THE YEAR 2000 AND MAYBE
18 EVEN BEYOND AS WE REALLY LOOK TO LONGER RANGE
19 PLANNING.

20 SO THAT WAS MY COMMENT. AND MY
21 QUESTION IS IF THIS IS SOMETHING THAT STAFF MIGHT
22 ADDRESS WITH OUR SISTER AND BROTHER AGENCIES, IT
23 COULD BE HELPFUL TO JURISDICTIONS.

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: I'M SURE IT'S POSSIBLE.

25 THE PROBLEM IS THAT YOU GET FURTHER INTO NUMBERS

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THAT ARE DISPUTABLE OR THAT ARE HARDER TO KNOW FOR
2 CERTAIN WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE IN A
3 RECOVERY OR HIT A RECESSION OR -- I SUPPOSE IT
4 WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE DIFFERENT SCENARIOS THAT
5 MIGHT GIVE PEOPLE RANGES OF POSSIBILITIES, BUT WHAT
6 DOES STAFF HAVE TO SAY ABOUT THAT?

7 MR. BOUGHTON: WE'VE INVESTIGATED THIS.
8 THE STATE AGENCIES FROM WHOM WE GET THE NUMBERS DO
9 NOT PROJECT, AND AS JIM SAID, SO WE WOULD HAVE TO
10 DO OUR OWN. THERE ARE TWO PROBLEMS WITH IT. ONE
11 IS THE POLITICAL THING OF HOW FAR OUT DO YOU GO?
12 THE FARTHER OUT YOU GO, THE MORE INACCURATE YOUR
13 PROJECTION IS GOING TO BE.

14 AND SECONDLY, THERE IS A TECHNICAL
15 ISSUE THAT THERE'S A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT WAYS TO
16 PROJECT. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU USE THE LAST TWO
17 YEARS OF THE ECONOMY FOR EMPLOYMENT, YOU GET A VERY
18 DIFFERENT PROJECTION THAN IF YOU USE THE LAST FIVE.

19 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WE OUGHT TO GET ALAN
20 GREENSPAN TO HELP US.

21 MR. BOUGHTON: WE -- ORIGINALLY IN THE
22 FIRST YEAR OF THE ANNUAL REPORT, WE DID SOME
23 PROJECTIONS AND ASKED JURISDICTIONS ABOUT THEM. WE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 COULDN'T REALLY GET A CONSENSUS ON WHICH METHOD
25 THEY PREFERRED. AND, YOU KNOW, BASICALLY IF YOU

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 PICK YOUR ASSUMPTION, YOU CAN PICK YOUR PROJEC-
2 TION. IT'S TECHNICALLY POSSIBLE FOR US TO DO THAT,
3 BUT IT WOULD REQUIRE THE BOARD MAKING SOME
4 DECISIONS ON HOW THEY WANT TO DO THOSE PROJECTIONS.
5 LIKE YOU SAY, GIVING PEOPLE A RANGE MAY ASSIST
6 THEM.

7 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: WELL, I GUESS WE'D HAVE
8 TO HEAR FROM LOCAL JURISDICTIONS WHICH USES OF
9 THESE TOOLS ARE THE MOST IMPORTANT TO THEM IN
10 DECIDING WHAT -- WHERE TO APPLY STAFF TO GET
11 ADDITIONAL DATA AND FURTHER DEVELOP THIS THING.
12 AND THE MOST IMPORTANT THING IS FOR US TO BE
13 SERVING THE LARGEST NUMBER OF JURISDICTIONS AND
14 FIND OUT HOW IT'S MORE USEFUL TO THEM.

15 HOW ARE WE -- BESIDES PUTTING IT ON
16 THE INTERNET, WHICH IS A HUGE STEP TO MARKET IT,
17 BUT HOW ARE WE GETTING THE WORD OUT TO LOCAL
18 GOVERNMENTS THAT IT'S THERE AND TALKING TO THEM
19 ABOUT ITS APPLICATION, HOW WE CAN -- I ASSUME IT'S
20 GOING OUT.

21 MR. BOUGHTON: IT'S GOING OUT IN
22 INFOCYCLING THROUGH PRETTY MUCH OUR STANDARD
23 TOOLS. I THINK THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, WITH MY

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 DIVERSION GUIDE, WE PLAN TO SEND A LETTER TO EVERY
25 JURISDICTION TELLING THEM IT'S GOING TO BE

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 AVAILABLE, HOW TO FIND IT, AND ALL OF THAT. SO I
2 THINK, YOU KNOW, THE WORD IS GETTING OUT.

3 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: YOU MAY WANT TO ASK
4 QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT -- HOW WOULD YOU INTEND TO USE
5 IT. WHAT WOULD BE MOST USEFUL TO SEE? WHAT DEGREE
6 OF INTEREST THERE IS IN WHAT MR. GRECO IS POINTING
7 OUT OR ASKING ABOUT BECAUSE I MEAN IF A LOT OF
8 JURISDICTIONS WANT IT AND THEY ACCEPT A RANGE, THEN
9 IT WOULD BE A WORTHWHILE THING FOR US TO DO. BUT
10 ON THE OTHER HAND, IF THERE'S SOME OTHER AREAS OF
11 USE THAT NEED DEVELOPMENT THAT THERE'S A GREATER
12 INTEREST IN, I THINK WE JUST HAVE TO -- BUT I'D BE
13 INTERESTED IN US HAVING THE FEEDBACK ABOUT HOW THIS
14 IS, YOU KNOW, MAYBE SOME QUESTIONNAIRE ABOUT IT
15 ASKING PEOPLE --

16 MR. BOUGHTON: PERHAPS WE COULD PUT IT IN
17 THE NEXT ANNUAL REPORT.

18 MR. GRECO: JUST IN CLOSING, SEE, THIS
19 DISCUSSION HERE JUST HEIGHTENS THE IMPORTANCE OF IT
20 BECAUSE NOW JURISDICTIONS ARE STARTING TO SEE
21 TRENDS. WE HAVE '95 NUMBERS; WE HAVE '96 NUMBERS.
22 WHERE AM I GOING TO BE IN 2000? HOW AM I GOING TO
23 GET THERE?

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24 SO JUST THE FACT -- I THINK THIS
25 MIGHT BE PERCOLATING MORE HOW SHOULD WE LOOK AT

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

1 THIS WITH SOME OF THESE FACTORS. AND ALWAYS
2 PLANNING IS ONLY AS GOOD AS THE DATA THAT GOES INTO
3 IT AND AS YOU PROJECT IT. BUT THE PURPOSE OF
4 BUDGETS AND PLANS ARE TO SET THOSE A LITTLE FURTHER
5 SO WE CAN ALWAYS IMPROVE UPON THEM AND REFINE THEM.

6 CHAIRMAN CHESBRO: IT'S VERY VALUABLE
7 INPUT, AND WE'LL CERTAINLY INCORPORATE THAT AND
8 TAKE IT INTO CONSIDERATION. THANK YOU. OKAY.

9 THERE'S NO -- LIKE I SAID, THERE'S NO
10 ACTION NECESSARY. I'VE ALREADY ASKED THAT THERE BE
11 A PRESENTATION TO THE BOARD IN NOVEMBER. AND SO
12 THANKS FOR YOUR BRINGING US UP TO SPEED.

13 UNLESS THERE'S ANY OPEN DISCUSSION,
14 WE WILL CALL THIS MEETING TO CONCLUSION.

15

16 (THE MEETING WAS THEN ADJOURNED AT

17 11:43 A.M.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.

24

25

105

Please note: These transcripts are not individually reviewed and approved for accuracy.